





Rev. Enferm. UFSM, v.13, e11, p.1-15, 2023 • Submission: 10/31/2022 • Acceptance: 2/23/2023 • Publication: 4/4/2023

Original article

Validation of an instrument for the Nursing Process Record in prehospital mobile emergency care*

Validação de instrumento para Registro do Processo de Enfermagem no atendimento pré-hospitalar móvel de urgência

Validación de un instrumento para el Registro del Proceso de Enfermería en la atención móvil de emergencia prehospitalaria

Aline Cecilia Pizzolato¹, Leila Maria Mansano Sarquis¹, Mitzy Tannia Reichembach Danski¹, Marcia Regina Cubas¹

¹ Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil ⁸ Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

*Extracted from the thesis "Technology for recording the Nursing Process in the Mobile Prehospital Service: Nursing APHmóvel", 2019, Postgraduate Program in Nursing, Universidade Federal do Paraná, 2019.

Abstract

Objective: face and content validation of an instrument for Recording the Nursing Process in the Mobile Emergency Care Service. **Method:** quantitative study of face and content validation of the instrument by a committee of 21 experts in the field of prehospital mobile emergency care nationwide. A Content Validity Index (CVI) equal to or greater than 0.80 determined validation. **Results:** a CVI of 0.94 was obtained. Only the item ease of reading, related to appearance, had an index below the established. It was possible to evaluate the 99 nursing interventions listed. **Conclusion:** the instrument for the Nursing Process Record in the Mobile Emergency Care Service was considered valid and can enable the manual documentation of nursing practice in this setting.

Descriptors: Nursing Records; Nursing Process; Validation Study; Emergency Nursing; Emergency Medical Services

Resumo

Objetivo: validar a aparência e o conteúdo de um instrumento para Registro do Processo de Enfermagem no Serviço de Atendimento Móvel de Urgência. **Método:** estudo de abordagem quantitativa, em que o instrumento foi submetido à validação de aparência e conteúdo por



comitê de 21 *experts* na área de atendimento pré-hospitalar móvel de urgência nacionalmente. Um Índice de Validade de Conteúdo (IVC) igual ou superior a 0,80 estabeleceu a validação. **Resultados:** obteve-se um IVC de 0,94. Apenas o item facilidade de leitura, relacionado à aparência, teve um índice abaixo do estabelecido. Foi possível avaliar as 99 intervenções de Enfermagem elencadas. **Conclusão:** o instrumento para Registro do Processo de Enfermagem no Serviço de Atendimento Móvel de Urgência foi considerado válido e pode possibilitar a documentação manual da prática do enfermeiro neste cenário.

Descritores: Registros de Enfermagem; Processo de Enfermagem; Estudo de Validação; Enfermagem em Emergência; Serviços Médicos de Emergência

Resumen

Objetivo: validación de apariencia y contenido de un instrumento para el Registro del Proceso de Enfermería en el Servicio de Atención Móvil de Emergencia. Método: estudio cuantitativo de validación facial y de contenido del instrumento por un comité de 21 expertos en el campo de la atención prehospitalaria móvil de emergencia a nivel nacional. Un Índice de Validez de Contenido (IVC) igual o superior a 0,80 determinó la validación. Resultados: se obtuvo un IVC de 0,94. Únicamente el ítem facilidad de lectura, relacionado con la apariencia, presentó índice por debajo de lo establecido. Fue posible evaluar las 99 intervenciones de enfermería listadas. Conclusión: el instrumento para el Registro del Proceso de Enfermería en el Servicio de Atención Móvil de Emergencia se consideró válido y puede posibilitar la documentación manual de la práctica de enfermería en este escenario.

Descriptores: Registros de Enfermería; Proceso de Enfermería; Estudio de Validación; Enfermería de Urgencia; Servicios Médicos de Urgencia

Introduction

In Brazil, the Mobile Emergency Care Service (SAMU) is a component of the National Emergency Care Policy. The purpose of this service is to reach the victim shortly after the occurrence of a health problem (of clinical, surgical and traumatic nature, including psychiatric ones) for adequate care and/or transport to a health service.¹

Patients who demand high complexity care in prehospital mobile emergency care are assisted by an Advanced Support Unit (ASU) team. Nurses are part of the crew of this unit, supported by Resolution no. 713/2022 of the Federal Nursing Council (COFEN)² that regulates nursing activities in Prehospital Mobile Land and Waterway Care. With regard to the documentation of nursing actions in pre- and inter-hospital care, nurses must perform the Nursing Process (NP) and the records.²

The exercise of nursing practice in the mobile prehospital setting is based on clinical reasoning. The particularities of care in this context require nursing actions

directed by care priorities for patients in critical life situations in order to favor quick decision-making and facilitate communication.³

Even though the ASUs of SAMU currently have technical records through a care bulletin filled out jointly by medical and nursing professionals, it does not include the NP. This fact together with the incipient literature on nursing documentation in this context boosted the development of an instrument for recording nursing care in the ASUs of SAMU that originated from a dissertation whose findings were not published.³

The content of the instrument was based on the conceptual model of Basic Human Needs,⁴ on the International Classification for Nursing Practice (ICNP®)⁵ and on international protocols that guide care in emergencies.⁶⁻⁷ Its objective is to record the summary of data collected, and nursing diagnoses/outcomes and interventions. However, it lacks validation.

In the context of the present study, the validation confirms the veracity of the instrument and reflects the purpose for which it is being used hence, it is fundamental for its legitimacy and credibility.8 Face validation criteria are presented to assess if it is comprehensible to participants, while content validation criteria are used to analyze the internal validity of its dimension. The objective was the face and content validation of an instrument for recording the Nursing Process in the Mobile Emergency Care Service.

Method

This is a quantitative face and content validation study. The empirical basis for validation was the instrument entitled "Registro da Assistência de Enfermagem - USA no SAMU' ("Nursing Care Record - ASU in SAMU"), presented on a page with items arranged in vertical position, consisting of fields and subfields in a checklist format with space for completion. It includes the summary of data collected using the mnemonic method SAMPLE (signs/symptoms; allergies; medications; past pertinent medical history; last oral intake; and environment and events leading up to present illness/injury),6 as well as 63 nursing diagnoses/outcomes and 98 nursing interventions.³

This study had the participation of experts at the national level, selected through a direct search of the Lattes curriculum (national virtual environment to gather and facilitate access to

curricula), and social media such as Facebook and Instagram. Subjects were invited by electronic mail (email) and after acceptance, the letter of invitation with information about the objectives of the study, method and ethical aspects was sent.

The population comprised SAMU nurses residing in the country with at least one year of experience in the specialty. In the beginning of the selection process, an internet search for regional SAMU nursing coordinators and/or coordinators of Emergency Education Centers, or Permanent Education Centers linked to SAMU was performed.

Intentional non-probabilistic sampling was used. The number of one participant per Brazilian state was established to achieve national representation, totaling 27 subjects. Group diversity is important as it contemplates different perceptions, realities and cultures.¹⁰

Of the 27 people in the sample, two did not respond to the invitation, four did not return the questionnaire within the specified period, and 21 agreed to participate and responded to the questionnaire.

The data collection period was between November 2018 and February 2019, by means of an online questionnaire consisting of three items: 1 - characterization of participants (sociodemographic, academic and professional variables); 2 - general assessment of the instrument (face validation); 3 - specific evaluation of fields and items (content validation). The content evaluation item was segmented into four fields: identification; history, vital signs, and findings; Priority Nursing Diagnoses/Outcomes and Interventions; and final field. Space for comments and suggestions (used to improve the content) was provided after completion of each field.

Face validation consisted of appearance, clarity, organization and readability criteria. The variables for content validation were: pertinence; relevance; title; first field; second field; airways and breathing; circulation; neurological; exposure and environment; fourth field; replication; and if records are allowed.

Questions with responses on a Likert-type scale were used. The levels of agreement and relevance of each item ranged from 1 to 5 points, with 1 - strongly disagree; 2- disagree; 3 - neither agree nor disagree; 4 - agree; and 5 - strongly agree.

Data were organized in charts and tables using the Microsoft[®] Excel[®] program, version 2018, confronted with the stipulated level of consensus and assessed through the Content Validity Index (CVI) of items and of the instrument as a whole; values equal

to or greater than 0.80 were considered validated. This index allows analyzing each item individually and the instrument as a whole.¹¹

The experts' comments and suggestions were analyzed. Suggestions about nursing interventions were listed, organized and quantified, and those relevant to international protocols and the hierarchical structure of the ICNP® were accepted.

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade Federal do Paraná under Opinion number 2.601.088 of April 16, 2018 (CAAE: 82979718.4.0000.0102), in compliance with Resolution No. 466/2012 of the National Council of Health. All participants received the Informed Consent form, which was signed as agreement to participate in the study.

Results

Fifteen out of the 21 participating nurses were female. The highest educational degrees of participants were the following: specialization (n=12), master's (n=6), graduation (n=2) and PhD (n=1). As for the field of action, 13 were in direct care practice, five accumulated teaching activities and three in research. The mean age of participants was 37.6 years (Standard Deviation (SD): 6.9); the mean time since graduation in Nursing was 11.8 years (SD: 5.7); and the mean time working at SAMU was 8.1 years (SD: 4.9). The participating nurse with the longest experience in SAMU (22 years) was from the state of Pará (PA).

Regarding the area covered by data collection, the experts represented 21 of all Brazilian states, except for Alagoas, Maranhão, Paraíba, Pernambuco, Rondônia and Roraima. Regarding the employment relationship, 17 were public servants, three were workers under the code of labor law and one was self-employed.

As for training in refresher courses on international protocols, Advanced Cardiologic Life Support (ACLS) had the highest participation (n=15), followed by Basic Life Support (BLS) and Prehospital Trauma Life Support (PHTLS) (both n=14). Some subjects have participated in more than one course. The overall CVI of the instrument was 0.94 (Table 1). Only the reading questions had a CVI below 0.8.

Table 1 - Content	Validity Index	according to	option of	answers f	or questions	related to
appearance, clarity,	organization, r	eadability of th	e instrume	nt. Curitiba,	, PR, Brazil, 20	19 (N=21)

		Answer options					
Question	SD*	D [†]	NAND [‡]	A §	SAII	CVI¶	
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)		
Appearance	-	-	3 (14.2)	9 (42.8)	9 (42.8)	0.86	
Clarity	-	1 (4.76)	-	11 (52.3)	9 (42.8)	0.95	
Organization	-	-	1 (4.76)	11 (52.3)	9 (42.8)	0.95	
Readability	-	1 (4.76)	5 (23.8)	7 (33.3)	8 (38.0)	0.71	

^{*}SD =strongly disagree; †D = disagree; ‡NAND = neither agree nor disagree; §A = agree; ||SA = strongly agree; ¶CVI = Content Validity Index

The experts' comments and suggestions for readability variable were: "lack of space to complete data"; "add space for obstetric data"; "reduced letter space"; and "more succinct instrument". In response to suggestions for this variable, modifications were made to the first and second fields of the instrument and space to be completed with information was inserted in some nursing interventions. Table 2 represents the CVI for the content questions of the instrument. All had an index above 0.8.

Table 2 - The Content Validity Index according to the option of answers to questions related to the content of the instrument. Curitiba, PR, Brazil, 2019 (n=21)

	Answer options					
Question	SD* n (%)	D [†] n (%)	NAND [‡] n (%)	A [§] n (%)	SA [∏] n (%)	CVI¶
Content	-	1 (4.76)	-	8 (38.0)	12 (57.1)	0.95
Pertinence	-	1 (4.76)	1 (4.76)	7 (33.3)	12 (57.1)	0.90
Relevance	-	-	1 (4.76)	8 (38.0)	12 (57.1)	0.95
Title	-	1 (4.76)	1 (4.76)	8 (38.0)	11 (52.3)	0.90
First field	1 (4.76)	-	1 (4.76)	10 (47.6)	9 (42.8)	0.90
Second field	-	-	2 (9.52)	6 (28.5)	13 (61.9)	0.90
Airways and breathing	-	2 (9.52)	1 (4.76)	6 (28.5)	12 (57.1)	0.86
Circulation	-	1 (4.76)	1 (4.76)	6 (28.5)	13 (61.9)	0.90
Neurological	-	1 (4.76)	1 (4.76)	7 (33.3)	12 (57.1)	0.90
Exposure and environment	-	-	3 (14.2)	6 (28.5)	12 (57.1)	0.86
Fourth field	-	1 (4.76)	1 (4.76)	6 (28.5)	13 (61.9)	0.90
Replication	1 (4.76)	-	1 (4.76)	6 (28.5)	13 (61.9)	0.90
If records are allowed	-	-	1 (4.76)	2 (9.52)	18 (85.7)	0.95

^{*}SD = strongly disagree; †D = disagree; ‡NAND = neither agree nor disagree; §A = agree; ||SA = strongly agree; ¶CVI = Content Validity Index

The experts' suggestions regarding the instrument resulted in the insertion of an explanatory footnote and inclusion of space to be completed in some interventions. There were also suggestions to modify the title of the instrument to "Registro do Processo de Enfermagem no SAMU" ("Record of the Nursing Process in SAMU"), in addition to changes in appearance (layout) in relation to the arrangement of items in the instrument, font size and model, and use of colors (grayscale). In the field for identifying the type of ambulance, it was suggested to change the item ASU to "unit" in order to contemplate the different types of land vehicles manned by nurses.

The nursing intervention suggestions (n=11) that were accepted are listed in figure 1, resulting in the writing adjustment of three interventions and inclusion of a new intervention, totaling 98.

Suggestion			
Correct "Examine upper airways"	1		
Make it clear that the "Defibrillate Patient" intervention can only be performed	1		
with an automated external defibrillator by the nurse	I		
Leave space for filling in after "Administer Medication"	1		
Leave space for filling in after "Administer Solution"			
Leave space after "Venipuncture" to include the catheter number			
Highlight the medical prescription of medicines			
Perform thermal adjustments by regulating the temperature in the vehicle			
Review the "Defibrillate patient" intervention, as it depends on the physician	2		
Review the "Implant Cardiac Device (Transcutaneous Pacemaker)" intervention,	1		
as it depends on the physician	l		
Change "Measure Level of Consciousness" to "Assess Level of Consciousness"			
Total	11		

Figure 1 - Experts' suggestions regarding nursing interventions. Curitiba, PR, Brazil, 2019

The experts' notes must be discussed, namely about the dependence on the medical professional to perform some actions, such as the intervention "Implant Cardiac Device (Transcutaneous Pacemaker)". In this sense, an explanatory note was inserted to support the actions that depend on medical prescription. With regard to "Defibrillate Patient", "with Automated External Defibrillator" was included.

As a product, Figure 2 presents the "Registro do Processo de Enfermagem no SAMU" ("Record of the Nursing Process in SAMU") validated instrument. It is represented as a checklist in a page, based on a nursing theory, 4 contemplating the steps of the NP: history, nursing diagnoses, outcomes and interventions, following a logical sequence of care priorities based on international protocols. The instrument is to be completed

exclusively by professional nurses and may be issued in two copies; the first is stored by the service administration and the second can be given to the destination.

	NURSING CARE REPORT - ASU								
Da	ate: Time: Incident:	Regulator:	Displacement C	1	1-065 - 2010 U-2		FINDII	NGS	
N	leme:		77,000	Age: Sex: ☐ Male			(·ř.)	\bigcirc	
s	•	INCIDENT LO				TAL SIGNS	~	25	
A		☐ Public thoro		☐ Clinical Glas		3/1/8/0	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	[1 1]	
-	~	Residence	1	☐Gynecological/Obstetric		rpm	/ /	1)/ \\	
M	<u> </u>	☐ Workplace	1	Pediatric Sat		%	(3) V (6)	(3) N (6)	
P	1	□us		☐ Psychiatric ☐ Social	HR:	bpm	1 / / /	1-()-(
L	,	□ UPA	1.	☐ Transport	BP:	mmHg °C		\/ \/	
A		☐ Others	1	☐ Trauma	5.5%	/ blood glucose: mg/dl	77 (7	77 (7	
	DIAGNOSES/RESULTS			NURSING INTER					
		OXYO	GENATION						
	☐ Respiration Rate, Altered ☐ Impaired Gas	☐ Administering Inhalent Medic	ation*	Installing Laryngeal Mask		☐ Pe	erform pulmonary auso	ultation	
Α	☐ Impaired Breathing Exchange	☐ Administering Oxygen Thera			Installing Mechanical Ventilator		□ Pulmonary resuscitation		
	☐ Risk for Aspiration	☐ Suctioning the Airway		Maintaining Airway Clear	☐ Ma	intaining Ventilation w	ith Breathing		
В	Risk for Impaired Respiratory System	Device Maintaining Paicod Edge M	ng Raised Edge Mattress > 30° Monitor O2 Saturation Using Pulsi		ing Dulco	Ovimotor D M	meter Monitoring Respiratory Status		
	Function	Examining Upper Airways		Monitoring Respiratory Th	- 5	Measuring Respiratory Movement			
			R REGULATIO		істару	L IWI	casuling nespiratory in	NOVEINEIL	
	☐ Absent Heart Rate ☐ Risk for Bleeding	☐ Administering Medication*_		Examining Pulse		☐ As	ssessing Peripheral Tis	suePerfusion	
	☐ Impaired Cardiac Function	☐ Administering Medication an	d Solution*	Perform Electrocardiogra	am	□ Ve	enipuncture		
	Bleeding	☐ Applying Compression Banda			☐ Me	easuring Heart Rate	99.		
	☐ Impaired Peripheral Tissue Perfusion	☐ Chest Compressions		Monitoring Blood		☐ Im	plantable Cardiac Dev	rice*	
	☐ Altered Blood Pressure	■ Defibrillate Patient*		Install Cardiac Monitor		Pre	essure(Transcutaneous	Pacemaker)	
С	☐ Risk for Hypovolemic Shock	□ Determining External Bleedin	g 🗆	Managing Hypovolemic S	Shock				
	Risk for Impaired Cardiac Function								
		HY	DRATION						
	☐ Dehydration ☐ Risk for Dehydration	Assessing Risk for Dehydration	on \square	Managing Vomiting		■ Evaluating	Response to Fluid Th	егару	
	☐ Vomiting ☐ Risk for Vomiting	Response to Fluid Therapy		Positioning Patient					
		NEUROLOGIC	CAL REGULATI				00004004000		
	☐ Impaired Psychomotor Activity ☐ Agitation ☐ Impaired Verbal Communication ☐ Amnesia	Assessing Agitation				Obstruction to Com			
	☐ Impaired Verbal Communication ☐ Amnesia ☐ Impaired Consciousness ☐ Confusion	Assessing Ability to Feel							
	☐ Impaired Mobility ☐ Disorientation	Assessing Diameter and Syr				Psychomotor Activit	у		
	☐ Impaired tactile perception ☐ Hypoglycemia	Assessing Weakness in Members Monitoring Confusion Assessing Mobility Pattern Observing Paresis							
	☐ Altered Pupillary Reflex ☐ Paresis	Assessing Mobility Pattern					ata bu Talkina		
D	☐ Altered Pupil Size ☐ Risk for Fall	Assessing Responsiveness				Ability to Communic			
	Risk For Impaired Nervous System Function	☐ Assessing Pupillary Reflex ☐ Managing Blood Glucose*							
		PERCEPTION	OF SENSE OF		wicasuring	g blood Glutase			
	☐ Complications during labor ☐ Labor Pain	☐ Administering Pain Medication				☐ Monitorin	ng Uterine Contraction	s	
	☐ Acute Pain ☐ Impaired Vision	☐ Evaluating Response to Pa	The second secon			ing Pain			
		☐ Implementing Childbirth Care				☐ Assessin	g Vision		
		AND DESCRIPTION OF AN INC.	AL INTEGRITY						
	☐ Oedema ☐ Risk for infection	Evaluating Burn Characterist	tics	☐ Examining Ches			☐ Assessing Oeder	59465	
	☐ Traumatic wound ☐ Head Trauma	☐ Skin Care ☐ Traumatic Wound Care		 Immobilizing Head Implementing Immobilization Regime 		on Regime	☐ Preventing Infe	35/433543	
	☐ Fracture ☐ Neck trauma	☐ Fracture care		☐ Using Cervical (on Negatie	☐ Protecting Burn		
	☐ Impaired skin integrity ☐ Chest Trauma	☐ Examining Head and Neck		☐ Irrigating Burn	Judi		☐ Taking off cloth		
	□ Burn	Assessing Skin Integrity		☐ Mobilising in a E	Block			50007	
			L REGULATIO						
	☐ Present Perspiration Process ☐ Impaired Thermoregulation	Administering Antipyretic*		Covering with Ali	uminized E	Blanket	Adjusting Ambula	nce Temperature	
	Risk for Impaired Thermoregulation	Covering with Blanket	with Blanket		onitoring Perspiration Process		☐ Measuring Body Temperature		
E		PHYSICAL SAFE	TY AND ENVIR	RONMENT					
	☐ Alcohol Abuse ☐ Risk for Self-Mutilation	☐ Accompanying Patient		☐ Managing Anxiet			☐ Assessing Social	11150-16051100	
	Substance Abuse Victim of Child Abuse	☐ Applying Physical Restraint			☐ Managing Aggressive Beha				
	□ Conflicting Family Attitude □ Risk For Elopement □ Aggressive behavior □ Risk for Suicide	☐ Communicating Risk for Agg			☐ Implementing Suicide Precautions☐ Implement Safety Regime		☐ Assessing Family	y Coping	
	☐ Aggressive benavior ☐ Risk for Suidde ☐ Environmental Safety Problem ☐ Risk for Violence	☐ Communicating Situations of					☐ Assessing Substa	ance Abuse	
	☐ Lack of Social Support ☐ Victim of Elder Abuse	☐ Referring to Specialized Serv			ol Abuse	☐ Teaching about Safety		Safety Measures	
	☐ Suicidal ideation ☐ Establishii			☐ Assessing Enviro	nment		☐ Request Police S	ervice	
	Risk for Self-Destructive Behavior							-0-0-0000	
_	Impaired Psychological Status								
	omments:					Referral			
	outcomes Achieved:			//o.w.o		Service Refusi		.	
	eam Identification:		Onsite Support:			Formal verification of death by doctor			
	lurse responsible for registration		200 10 20	nsible for admission	000				
R	Register Signature		Register	Sign	ature		Note: * assardi +	o medical prescription	
							Nota: * according to	n medical prescription	

Figure 2 - Record of the Nursing Process in SAMU validated instrument. Curitiba, PR, Brazil, 2019

Discussion

The female prevalence of participants is supported by the fact that 90% of the Nursing workforce is still composed of women.¹² According to overall data on global workforce aging patterns, these professionals are relatively young, with 38% of nurses aged under 35 years. 12 This was also observed in participants of this study.

Worldwide estimates are that the largest current workforce have started their professional activities in the last 10 years. 12 The fact that an increase in qualifications is considered may require coordination between the different levels of continuing education programs as an important mechanism of career development.¹² This information corroborates the average time of training in undergraduate studies and in continuing education qualification of study participants.

Regarding attendance to courses on international protocols, according to a study on the role of nurses in prehospital care, this topic is rarely addressed in undergraduate Nursing. 13 In this sense, the nurses' search for external training in order to supply the need to be updated in this area was observed in the profile of experts, as well as the presence of teaching and research activities.

An expert from the state of Pará had the longest time working at SAMU, which is in line with the inauguration period of one of the first SAMU in capitals of Brazil, in Belém-PA in 1994.14

The national representativeness of participation was not achieved given the lower participation of experts from the North and Northeast regions. A study indicated that SAMU coverage is still unequal between states and regions in Brazil and structural restrictions have affected the North and Northeast more strongly.¹⁴

Regarding the validation of the instrument, it was not possible to confront the result with other studies on the validation of the nursing record in prehospital mobile emergency services, as these were not identified in the scientific literature. However, a systematic review revealed that different criteria were followed in most studies on instrument development and a CVI> 0.80 was found in nine of them. 15

Although there are studies on instruments for the record of nursing in various contexts, weaknesses in scientific production on nursing documentation and its applicability in prehospital mobile emergency care services are still found. An article analyzed the trends of Brazilian scientific nursing productions on prehospital care and first aid and considered a relationship with assistance in the Mobile Emergency Care Service.¹⁶

The only item of the instrument with CVI below the appropriate is related to the instrument readability criteria, which comprised the size of the letter, spaces and lines. In a survey, it was concluded that less than 50% of respondents agreed there was enough space for records, and readability appeared as the second cause that can decrease the efficiency of documentation.¹⁷

Items with compatible CVI for face validation are directly linked to the importance of the appearance, clarity and organization of the instrument, demonstrating assertiveness at the time of its development. Accurate and affordable documentation is essential for a quality, safe, evidence-based nursing practice, ¹⁸ and patient records need to be clear and accurate for nurses' clinical practice. ¹⁹

As for the content of instrument items, the validated criteria - pertinence, relevance, title, fields, replication of the instrument and if records of nurses' assistance in SAMU are allowed - are important. In a study that evaluated the content of nursing records in hospitals, data showed that the content of records was poor and did not portray the reality of nursing care.²⁰

Although data were inserted with assertiveness in the validated instrument, this was not described in other studies, such as a systematic review on quality criteria, instruments and requirements for nursing documentation. Given the lack of evidence-based quality indicators, uncertainty regarding criteria needed to obtain high-quality nursing documentation was indicated. However, the same study discusses the alignment of the documentation with the NP in the understanding that the use of terminologies seems to be important for high-quality nursing documentation.²¹ Another systematic review on the accuracy of nursing care plans and the use of standardized language reported the need to focus on the accuracy of the nursing record, particularly on the accuracy and rigor of the content.²²

The experts' view is relevant in expanding the item to identify the care unit in order to meet a trend to be implemented nationwide, because nurses have the

possibility to work both in ASUs and in Intermediate Life Support units to fill gaps in the care of critically ill patients.

This service is regulated by Resolution COFEN n. 688/2022²³ on the implementation of care guidelines and the administration of medication by the nursing team working in the Basic Life Support modality and recognizes Intermediate Life Support in public and private services. The physical or electronic media record of care provided, considering the NP, is mandatory and one of the technical conditions for the implementation of care guidelines.

The above-expected CVI for validation of nursing interventions presented by Basic Human Needs (Airway and breathing; Circulation; Neurological; Exposure and environment) demonstrates its suitability and is considered an expressive result for the mobile emergency prehospital setting. The findings of the present study add actions to studies in which were identified 14 nursing interventions, ²⁴ 51 blocks of nursing interventions expressed in algorithms,²⁵ and 43 interventions for prehospital trauma victims.²⁶

The scientific literature in the area of prehospital care presents more findings about interventions by other professionals compared to interventions by nursing and the latter, in particular, are often directed towards the health/disease dichotomy.²⁵ Thus, encouraging investigation in the context of provision of emergency care in the prehospital setting is a relevant strategy to consolidate the nursing space.²⁷

It is understood that the instrument validated in this study respects the criteria for nursing documentation. Such criteria are highlighted in the conclusion of a study stating that nursing care must be fully expressed in the content of the nursing documentation,¹⁵ which promotes effective, quality communication between teams, thereby facilitating the continuity and individuality of care. 15

The instrument will be able to overcome the gap presented in a study that evaluated the profile and activities performed by SAMU nurses, in which the description of the use of the nursing process and consequent registration was not identified.²⁸ It is argued that the lack of records of the care process transmits how nursing care interventions are communicated through a hidden language,²⁹ which can result in the absence of visibility and create a barrier to the advancement of the Nursing science,³⁰ 12

compromise the quality of care and patient safety, and the systematic development of nursing care in the prehospital area.²⁹

Limitations of this study include the incipient publication on nurses' records in prehospital mobile emergency care services, which did not allow comparison between results and the difficulty in accessing experts.

As a contribution to the nursing area, the validation of an instrument in a checklist format, with priority nursing diagnoses, outcomes and interventions, focused on meeting the specificities of the prehospital context, can collaborate in a quick, effective and systematic documentation process. It can also help in conducting the nurse's clinical reasoning and in the organization of the work process, promoting the documentation of professional practice in this scenario. It is recommended to maintain the instrument, following the dynamic changes in urgent and emergency services and in standardized terminologies in nursing.

Conclusion

The validation process involved nurses from all regions of Brazil, demonstrating the sharing of knowledge from experts in prehospital mobile emergency care services in different geographic locations.

The instrument for Recording the Nursing Process in the SAMU, printed version (paper), was face and content validated. A list of priority nursing interventions for this context of action was presented. The structural logic of the Nursing Process with the ICNP® language was adopted and is related to the sequence of priorities in emergency care.

The validation of this instrument allows the documentation of nursing practice in SAMU with legal, technical, ethical and scientific support. It is hoped that the results will allow the development of future studies to assess the applicability and implementation of the printed record in this scenario.

References

1. BRASIL. Ministério da Saúde. Portaria nº 2.048/GM, de 5 de novembro de 2002. Aprova o Regulamento Técnico dos Sistemas Estaduais de Urgência e Emergência. Brasília, DF: Ministério

- da Saúde. 2002. Disponível em: http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/saudelegis/gm/2002/prt2048_05_11_2002.html. Acesso em: 10 mar. 2021.
- 2. Conselho Federal de Enfermagem (COFEN). Resolução nº 713/2022. Normatiza a atuação dos profissionais de enfermagem no Atendimento Pré-hospitalar (APH) móvel Terrestre e Aquaviário, quer seja na assistência direta, no gerenciamento e/ou na Central de Regulação das Urgências (CRU) [Internet]. Brasília (DF): COFEN; 2022 [acesso em 2023 fev 10]. Disponível em: http://www.cofen.gov.br/resolucao-cofen-no-655-2020 84045.html
- 3. Pizzolato AC. Construção de instrumento do registro de enfermagem no atendimento móvel de urgência em Curitiba - PR [dissertação]. Curitiba: Universidade Federal do Paraná; 2015.
- 4. Horta WA. Processo de enfermagem. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan; 2011.
- 5. International Council of Nurses (ICN). CIPE® Versão 2017 Português do Brasil [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Oct 15]. Available from: https://www.icn.ch/sites/default/files/inline-files/icnp-brazilportuguese-translation-2017.pdf
- 6. National Association of Emergency Medical Technicians (NAEMT). Prehospital trauma life support. 9^a ed. Rio de Janeiro: Artmed; 2020.
- 7. Aehlert B. ACLS: suporte avançado de vida em cardiologia. 7ª ed. Rio de Janeiro: Elsevier; 2017.
- 8. Pilatti LA, Pedroso B, Gutierrez GL. Propriedades psicométricas de instrumentos de avaliação: um debate necessário. Rev Bras Ensino Ciên Tecnol. 2010;3(1):81-91. doi: 10.3895/S1982-873X2010000100005
- 9. Polit DF, Beck CT. Fundamentos da pesquisa em enfermagem: avaliação de evidências para a prática de enfermagem. 7ª ed. Porto Alegre: Artmed; 2011.
- 10. Pereira RDDM, Alvim NAT. Delphi technique in dialogue with nurses on acupuncture as a proposed nursing intervention. Esc Anna Nery Rev Enferm. 2015;19(1):174-80. doi: 10.5935/1414-8145.20150024
- 11. Alexandre NMC, Coluci MZO. Validade de conteúdo nos processos de construção e adaptação de instrumentos de medidas. Ciênc Saúde Coletiva. 2011;16(7):3061-8. doi: 10.1590/S1413-81232011000800006
- 12. World Health Organization (WHO). State of the world's nursing 2020: investing in education, jobs and leadership. Geneva (CH): World Health Organization; 2020.
- 13. Adão RS, Santos MR. Atuação do enfermeiro no atendimento Pré-Hospitalar Móvel. REME Rev Min Enferm. 2012;16(4):601-8.
- 14. O'Dwyer G, Konder MT, Reciputti LP, Macedo C, Lopes MGM. Implantação do Servico de Atendimento Móvel de Urgência no Brasil. Cad Saúde Pública. 2017;33(7). doi: 10.1590/0102-311X00043716
- 15. Wang N, Hailey D, Yu P. Quality of nursing documentation and approaches to its evaluation: a mixed-method systematic review. J Adv Nurs. 2011;67(9):1858-75. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2011.05634.x
- 16. Ilha AG, Nietsche EA, Cogo SB, Ilha S, Ramos TK, Antunes AP. Scientific production of nursing about pre-hospital service and first aid: study trends. Res Soc Dev. 2022;11(2):e22711225624. doi: 10.33448/rsd-v11i2.25624

- 17. Preethia MM, Bhoomadevib A, Amutha A. Electronic Medical Records (EMR) over manual documentation of in-patient records: a scientific insight. Turkish J Comput Math Educ. 2021;12(11):3274-85.
- 18 Chiejina EN. Inter-Relationships of the components of documentation in nursing practice. J Nurs Sci Pract Res Adv. 2021;3(1):7-12.
- 19. Brooks N. How to undertake effective recordkeeping and documentation. Nurs Stand. 2021;36(4):31-3. doi: 10.7748/ns.2021.e11700
- 20. Silva TG, Santos RM, Crispim LMC, Almeida LMWS. Conteúdo dos registros de enfermagem em hospitais: contribuições para o desenvolvimento do processo de enfermagem. Enferm Foco. 2016;7(1);24-7.
- 21. Groot KD, Triemstra M, Paans W, Francke AL. Quality criteria, instruments and requirements for nursing documentation: a systematic review of systematic reviews. J Adv Nurs. 2019;75(7):1379-93. doi: 10.1111/jan.13919
- 22. Johnson L, Edward KL, Giandinoto JA. A systematic literature review of accuracy in nursing care plans and using standardised nursing language. Collegian. 2018;25(3):355-61. doi: 10.1016/j.colegn.2017.09.006
- 23. CONSELHO FEDERAL DE ENFERMAGEM. Resolução nº 688/2022. Normatiza a implementação de diretrizes assistenciais e a administração de medicamentos para a equipe de enfermagem que atua na modalidade Suporte Básico de Vida e reconhece o Suporte Intermediário de Vida em serviços públicos e privados. Brasília, DF: COFEN, 2022. Disponível em: http://www.cofen.gov.br/resolucao-cofen-no-688-2022_95825.html. Acesso em: 29 jan. 2023.
- 24. Lins TH, Lima AXBC, Veríssimo RCSS, Oliveira JM. Diagnósticos e intervenções de enfermagem em vítimas de trauma durante atendimento pré-hospitalar utilizando a CIPE®. Rev Eletrônica Enferm. 2013;15(1):34-43. doi: 10.5216/ree.v15i1.16503
- 25. Mota M, Cunha M, Santos MR. O enfermeiro no pré-hospitalar: cuidar para a cura. Millenium. 2020;2(5):147-52. doi: 10.29352/mill0205e.14.00333
- 26. Vieira MS, Roveri PF, Campos EC, Oliveira PB, Duarte AGG, Oliveira E, et al. Diagnósticos de enfermagem relacionados ao politraumatismo em atendimento pré-hospitalar móvel. Glob Acad Nurs J. 2022;3(Suppl 1):e242. doi: 10.5935/2675-5602
- 27. Mota M, Cunha M, Santos M, Cunha ICKO, Alves M, Marques N. Intervenções de enfermagem pré-hospitalar: revisão narrativa. Enferm Foco. 2019;10(4):122-8. doi: 10.21675/2357-707X.2019.v10.n4.2527
- 28. Luchtemberg MN, Pires DEP. Nurses from the Mobile Emergency Service: profile and developed activities. Rev Bras Enferm. 2016;69(2):194-201. doi: 10.1590/0034-7167.2016690202i
- 29. Pahlin T, Mattsson J. Digital documentation platforms in prehospital care-do they support the nursing care. Int J Higher Educ. 2019;8(1):84-9. doi: 10.5430/ijhe.v8n1p84
- 30. Filgueiras LVL. Cuidando da experiência de usuário nas tecnologias da saúde. In: Pesquisa sobre o uso das tecnologias de informação e comunicação nos estabelecimentos de saúde brasileiros TIC saúde 2017 [Internet]. São Paulo: Comitê Gestor da Internet no Brasil, 2018 [acesso em 2023 fev 28]. p. 59-66. Disponível em: https://cetic.br/media/docs/publicacoes/2/tic_saude_2017_livro_eletronico.pdftic_saude_2017_livro_eletronico.pdf

Support / Acknowledgment: none to declare.

Author's contributions

1 - Aline Cecilia Pizzolatol

Corresponding Author Nurse, PhD - pizzolato.aline@gmail.com Conception and/or development of the study and/or writing of the manuscript.

2 – Leila Maria Mansano Sarquis

Nurse, PhD - lmmsarquis@gmail.com Review and approval of the final version

3 – Mitzy Tannia Reichembach Danski

Nurse, PhD - profa.mitzy@ufpr.br Review and approval of the final version

4 - Marcia Regina Cubas

Nurse, PhD - marciacubas@gmail.com Review and approval of the final version

Scientific Editor: Tania Solange Bosi de Souza Magnago

Associate Editor: Etiane de Oliveira Freitas

How to cite this article

Pizzolato AC, Sarquis LMM, Danski MTR, Cubas MR. Validation of an instrument for the Nursing Process Record in prehospital mobile emergency care. Rev. Enferm. UFSM. 2023 vol.13, at: Year Month Day]; e11: 1-15. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5902/2179769271997