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**ABSTRACT**

The presence of dyes in wastewater can cause several damages to the environment. Aiming at its removal, advanced oxidative processes (AOP) and adsorption (ADS) have been used. In this work, the removal of acid blue dye 80 (AA80) by AOP (photoperoxidation (PP) and photo-Fenton (PF)) and by ADS was evaluated, individually and combined. The use of the PP/UV-C system led to degradations of 72.7 and 83.8% for the λ of 334 and 622 nm, respectively. The PP/LED system did not degrade. For the PF process, > 90% degradation was obtained for both radiations. The [Fe] (1 mg L⁻¹) and H₂O₂ concentration ([H₂O₂]) (90 mg L⁻¹) were optimized for the PF/LED system. As for the PP/UV-C system, the optimal [H₂O₂] was 60 mg L⁻¹. The experimental data fit well with the Chan and Chu (2003) kinetic model with R²>0.94. The kinetic data showed a better fit to the pseudo-second order model (R²>0.90), while equilibrium was reached in 30 min with removal of 62.45 (λ=334 nm) and 83.22% (λ=622 nm), being well represented by the Langmuir and Sips models. Finally, the combined study promoted a 7% increase in AA80 removal, achieving an improvement in the final toxicity of the treated matrix when compared to isolated AOP systems.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Dyes are pigmented chemical substances capable of imparting color to a substrate, being widely applied in the food, pharmaceutical, textile, sanitizing, and other industries (FERRAZ et al. 2011; MUTHUPRIYA et al. 2016). These substances are categorized according to their chemical class and their intended applications. Among the chemical classes stand out the anthraquinones, which have in its constitutions three conjugated aromatic rings, derived from anthracene, with two carbonyl groups (KIM; CHOI, 2013; DIAZ-MUÑOZ et al. 2018).

However, the presence of these compounds in wastewater poses a serious problem. Even at low concentrations, dyes can cause changes in watercolor and therefore cause imbalance in the ecosystem, since their presence blocks the penetration of sunlight, harming the process of photosynthesis of aquatic organisms (RATANAPONGLEKA; PHETSON, 2014; LALNUNHLIMI; KRISHNASWAMY, 2016). Furthermore, according to Selvaraj et al. (2021), dyes and their degradation products can be toxic to aquatic life, causing carcinogenic and mutagenic effects to living beings.

Thus, treating surface water is an urgent need; especially when it is verified that the conventional treatments applied in wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) are inefficient in terms of the removal of persistent organic pollutants. Among the alternative treatments used, the advanced oxidation processes (AOP) stand out, as they are capable of mineralizing pollutants, ideally reducing them to CO$_2$, water, and inorganic salts (BANASCHIK et al. 2018). Several types of AOP have been reported in the literature (Fenton, photo-Fenton, photoperoxidation, heterogeneous photocatalysis, ozonation), and the main difference between them is the way in which hydroxyl radicals are generated. These, in turn, are responsible for promoting the degradation of pollutants (GIROLETTI et al. 2018).

Among the variables involved in the different systems mentioned above is the use of radiation. Photochemical processes are more efficient than the Fenton process, as the emission of photons accelerates the production of hydroxyl radicals. Therefore,
different types of radiation have been studied over the years. Amorim et al. (2020) used AOP photoperoxidation (combined use of radiation and oxidizing agent, in general \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)) and photo-Fenton (use of radiation together with the oxidizing agent and iron ions) to treat the direct red 83 textile dye employing bench reactors with ultraviolet (UV) and sunlight. The authors found greater treatment efficiency by combining the photoperoxidation process with UV-C radiation. Ramos et al. (2020) studied the degradation of the indigo carmine dye using the Fenton, photo-Fenton, photoperoxidation and direct photolysis processes. In this work it was found that the photo-Fenton process with UV-C radiation was the most efficient in terms of mineralization of the dye under study.

However, it is known that the use of UV radiation can increase the costs of the process, making it necessary to evaluate other types of radiation. Moraes et al. (2020) mention in their work the importance of evaluating the costs related to the acquisition of lamps, as well as the cost related to the operation of the reactor. Therefore, it is possible to find reports in the literature on the application of LED radiation in the use of AOP (XIONG et al. 2020). Yin; Shang (2020) used AOP UV-LED/chlorine followed by adsorption with activated carbon to treat the micropollutants bisphenol A, diclofenac and caffeine. Another alternative to improve the treatment efficiency is the combined use of AOP and adsorptive processes.

The adsorption is an efficient process for the removal of organic compounds, standing out for its easy operation, low energy consumption, simple methodology and the possibility of using residues to produce adsorptive materials (SALLES et al. 2022, BRUCKMANN et al. 2022). The use of these materials becomes favourable in view of their high availability, accessible and sustainable processing, in addition to the great diversity of agro-industrial residues that can be used (VIDOVIX et al. 2022, BABAKIR; ALI; ISMAIL, 2022). The literature shows the use of the most diverse types of waste (WANG et al. 2018; MEILI et al. 2019; GHBATE; SENHAIJ; TAOUIL, 2021) used to treat toxic substances and their by-products. In this way, eggshell stands out as a good adsorbent,
being a natural material composed of a variety of functional groups such as -OH, -NH₂, -COOH, on its surface, enabling affinity with dyes (HEVIRA et al. 2020; WU et al. 2022).

The justification for the combined use of such processes is due to the fact that in some cases the use of AOP can lead to the formation of intermediate compounds (by-products) that increase the toxicity of the treated solution when compared to the initial solution. It is suggested that these by-products can be better adsorbed than the original compounds, due to the lower complexity of their structures.

Considering the possible formation of intermediates, investigating the toxicological power that the application of AOP can cause is essential, as it is known that persistent organic pollutants can cause carcinogenic and mutagenic effects (BORTOTI et al. 2016). Tests have been carried out with different organisms, namely: fish, vegetable seeds, bacteria and microcrustaceans (PRIAC et al. 2017).

Given the above, the objective of this work was to treat the acid blue dye 80, using advanced oxidation processes and adsorptive processes in an isolated and combined way, aiming at a complete elimination of the pollutant and possible intermediates formed.

2 EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Identification and quantification of the acid blue dye 80

An aqueous solution of the acid blue 80 dye (Colour Index 61585, molecular formula C₃₂H₂₈N₂Na₂O₈S₂ and molar mass of 678.682 g mol⁻¹) with a concentration of 15 mg L⁻¹ (working solution) was used. To determine their characteristic wavelengths (λ), spectral scanning from 200 to 800 nm was performed in an ultraviolet/visible (UV/Vis) spectrophotometer (Thermoscientific).

Then, to quantify the dye in the solution before and after treatment, analytical curves were built, with a linear range from 1 to 30 mg L⁻¹, with the limits of quantification (LOD) and detection (LOD), as well as the coefficient of variance (CV) being determined, as described by INMETRO (2016). The spectral behavior of the dye was also analyzed in relation to the pH variation (from 3 to 6) of the solution.
2.2 Advanced oxidation processes: assessment of the influence of variables and kinetic study

Initially, the advanced oxidation processes, photoperoxidation and photo-Fenton were evaluated, in addition to the photolysis process, to identify which, among them, had greater efficiency in the degradation of the dye under study. In the tests, hydrogen peroxide ($H_2O_2$) (Química Moderna, 30% v/v), was used as an oxidizing agent, FeSO$_4$.7H$_2$O (Vetec, 99%), as a catalyst, and a 0.2 mol L$^{-1}$ H$_2$SO$_4$ solution for pH adjustment, when applicable. In cases where the use of radiation was necessary, benchtop reactors with UV-C radiation (ZAIDAN et al. 2017) and LED (NASCIMENTO et al. 2020) were used. The photon emission of each reactor was determined with the aid of radiometers (Instrutherm).

The preliminary tests, in duplicate, were carried out using 50 mL of the working solution, a concentration of $H_2O_2$ ($[H_2O_2]$) of 100 mg L$^{-1}$ and an exposure time of 60 min. In the execution of the Fenton and photo-Fenton processes, a concentration of iron ([Fe]) equal to 3 mg L$^{-1}$ and a pH in the range of 3-4 was used.

With the results obtained and identified, the AOP with the highest efficiency, for each radiation studied, the univariate study of the parameters influencing the process was carried out. For the photoperoxidation, the $[H_2O_2]$ was varied from 40 to 180 mg L$^{-1}$. For the photo-Fenton, the optimization of the variables took place in two stages: the first consisted of varying the $[H_2O_2]$ from 40 to 150 mg L$^{-1}$ with fixed [Fe] (3 mg L$^{-1}$) and the second used the best determined $[H_2O_2]$ and varied the [Fe] in 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 mg L$^{-1}$.

All tests lasted 60 min and the efficiency of the process was determined from the percentage of degradation. Then, the treatment was evaluated as a function of the volume of solution to be treated, which was varied to 100, 200, 500 and 1000 mL.

Finally, after establishing the best experimental conditions, tests were carried out in order to define the dye degradation kinetics. Thus, the adjustment of the experimental data to the pseudo-first order models proposed by Chan and Chu (2003) (Equation 1 and 2) and He et al. (2016) (Equation 3)
\[ C = C_0 \left(1 - \frac{t}{\rho + \sigma} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ \frac{t}{C_2} = \frac{\rho + \sigma}{C_0} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ \frac{dC}{dt} = kKC = KC \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where: \( \rho \) represents the reaction kinetics (min) and \( \sigma \) the oxidative capacity of the system (dimensionless), corresponding to the linear coefficient and the angular coefficient of the straight line, respectively. \( k \) is the pseudo-first order reaction rate (min\(^{-1}\)). \( C \) and \( C_0 \) are the initial and final dye concentrations (mg L\(^{-1}\)) after treatment at a reaction time \( t \) (min), respectively.

### 2.3 Adsorbent preparation and characterization

Chicken eggshell residues were washed with distilled water, then dried in an oven at 80 ± 1 °C for 24 h. The material was milled in a blender and through a series of Tyler sieves with the following grain size ranges: < 0.09; 0.09 - 0.15; 0.15 - 0.21 nm. The prepared adsorbent was characterized by means of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and determination of pH at the point of zero charge (pH\(_{PZC}\)).

The FTIR technique was used in order to identify the functional groups present in the developed material. This analysis was performed in a spectral range between 4000 and 500 cm\(^{-1}\) in FTIR equipment (Bruker Tensor 27), with an average of 20 scans, spectral resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\) and attenuated total reflectance (ATR).

To study the pH\(_{PZC}\) of the adsorbent, NaOH (0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\)) and HCl (0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\)) were used to adjust the pH of the dye solution and it was quantified with the aid of a pHmeter (Quimis Q400AS). The test was performed in Erlenmeyer flasks with 25 mL of distilled water, 0.1 g of the adsorbent and pH ranging from 2 to 10. The tests were performed under agitation (300 rpm) on a shaking table (IKE brand, model KS 130 control) for 24 h. Finally, the system was filtered, and the pH of the final solution was quantified.
the initial and final pH values it was possible to generate a graph with axes (\(\text{pH}_{\text{final}} - \text{pH}_{\text{initial}}\)) versus \(\text{pH}_{\text{initial}}\) and the \(\text{pH}_{\text{PZC}}\) was estimated. With this result, it was possible to start the treatment via adsorption process.

### 2.4 Factorial design for evaluation of variable of the adsorption process

To define the best working conditions of the adsorptive process to remove the contaminant, a factorial design \((2^3)\) was carried out with a central point in triplicate, totaling 11 independent experiments. The variables and levels (minimum, central and maximum) studied were pH (2, 4 and 6), stirring speed (0, 150, 300 rom) and particle size (< 0.9; 0.9 – 0.15; 0.15 – 0.21 mm). This study was carried out in Erlenmeyer flasks with a capacity of 100 mL, using 25 mL of acid blue 80 dye solution (15 mg L\(^{-1}\)), with a fixed mass of 0.2 g of adsorbent, for 180 min.

At the end of the test, the samples were filtered on a white strip filter paper. For statistical analysis, the date obtained was treated in the Statistica 6.0 software, in which Pareto charts were generated. The response variable used was the adsorptive capacity determined from Equation 4.

\[
q = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{m} \times V
\]

(4)

Where \(q\) is the adsorptive capacity (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \(C_0\) is the initial concentration (mg L\(^{-1}\)), \(C_t\) is the concentration at a given time point (mg L\(^{-1}\)), \(m\) is the mass of the biosorbent (g), and \(V\) is the solution volume (L). Once the best operational conditions were defined, the kinetic and equilibrium studies of the adsorptive process were carried out.

### 2.5 Kinetic and equilibrium study of the adsorption process

The kinetic study was carried out under the best conditions defined in the factorial design. Thus, the dye solution was in contact with the biosorbent for 360 min,
with the samples being analyzed at the times of 0, 2, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 210, 300 and 360 min.

With the experimental data, the evaluation of the kinetic behavior was carried out using a pseudo-first order (Equation 5) and pseudo-second order (Equation 6) kinetic models.

\[
\frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_1(q_e - q_t) 
\]  
\[ \text{(5)} \]

\[
\frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_2(q_e - q_t)^2 
\]  
\[ \text{(6)} \]

Where \( k_1 \) is pseudo-first order adsorption rate constant (\( \text{min}^{-1} \)), \( q_e \) and \( q_t \) are the adsorptive capacities (\( \text{mg g}^{-1} \)) at equilibrium and a given time, respectively. Finally, \( k_2 \) is the pseudo-second order adsorption rate constant (\( \text{g mg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1} \)). For the equilibrium study, dye concentrations ranged from 5 to 100 mg L\(^{-1}\). After obtaining the experimental data, an assessment was made of the adequacy of the Langmuir (Equation 7), Freundlich (Equation 8) and Langmuir-Freundlich (Equation 9) models.

\[
q_e = \frac{q_{\text{max}} \cdot k_1 C_e}{1 + k_1 C_e} 
\]  
\[ \text{(7)} \]

\[
q_e = k_F \frac{1}{C_e^{1/n_F}} 
\]  
\[ \text{(8)} \]

\[
q_e = \frac{q_{\text{max}}(k_1 C_e)^{1/n_s}}{1 + (k_1 C_e)^{1/n_s}} 
\]  
\[ \text{(9)} \]

Where: \( C_e \) is the equilibrium concentration (\( \text{mg L}^{-1} \)), \( q_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum adsorptive capacity (\( \text{mg g}^{-1} \)), \( K_L \) is the Langmuir equilibrium constant (\( \text{L mg}^{-1} \)), \( K_F \) is a constant that indicates the extent of adsorption (\( \text{mg g}^{-1} \left( \text{mg L}^{-1} \right)^{1/n_F} \)), \( n_F \) is the Freundlich intensity parameter, \( K_S \) is the Sips equilibrium constant (\( \text{L mg}^{-1} \)) and \( n_S \) the heterogeneity index.

Once the efficiency of the two processes (advanced oxidation and adsorptive) was investigated and the most adequate working conditions were determined, a third
treatment was proposed, since after the first one, undesirable by-products are often generated. For this, first the dye solution was treated via AOP and then through the adsorptive process.

2.6 Combined treatment and toxicity assessment

To reduce the final toxicity of the previously treated solution and increase dye removal efficiency, the adsorptive process was used after the AOP. The experimental times of the systems were obtained according to the kinetics of the two processes. This was determined based on the dye concentration at the characteristic $\lambda$. In addition, spectral scanning analysis was performed before and after treatments.

The toxicity of solutions treated via AOP and AOP + adsorption was evaluated with carrot seeds (*Daucus carota subsp. Sativus*). To this end, the methodology described by Santos et al. (2020) exposing 20 seeds to the dye solution before and after the mentioned treatments, as well as to a 3% boric acid solution (positive control) and distilled water (negative control). After an incubation period of 120 h, the relative growth indices (RGI) and germination (GI) of the seeds were determined, as described by Young et al. (2012).

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Spectrophotometric analysis of the acid blue dye 80

Spectral scanning analysis of the aqueous solution of the acid blue dye 80 (AA80) was performed in the range of 200 to 800 nm, using 3 different pH ranges. The spectra obtained are shown in Figure 1.

Analyzing Figure 1, the presence of three characteristic peaks of the dye solution can be seen, the 622 nm peak being related to the chromophore group and the peaks at 215 and 334 nm referring to the presence of aromatic groups and auxochromes (Paulino; Araújo; Salgado, 2015). However, the monitoring was carried out only at
the λ of 622 and 334 nm, considering that the λ of 215 nm is close to the equipment stabilization range, presenting low reliability in the quantification.

It is also observed, in Figure 1, a decay in the intensity of the peaks of 622 and 334 nm when the pH varies. This proves the existence of a cleavage of chromophore groups responsible for dye coloration, and, therefore, it was decided to build two analytical curves fixing the pH in the 3-4 range (ideal for Fenton reactions). Both curves showed correlation coefficient values ≥ 0.998, showing good linearity. From the values of LOD (2.16 and 2.22 mg L⁻¹), LOQ (2.60 and 2.75 mg L⁻¹) and CV (2.82% and 3.28%) as predicted by Harris (2001), the precision and low dispersion of data.

Possessing a methodology for detection and quantification of the AA80 dye, the treatment step was carried out using, initially, advanced oxidation processes.

3.2 Treatment using advanced oxidation processes

The degradation of the AA80 dye was evaluated by applying the photolysis process and the AOP photoperoxidation and photo-Fenton. Table 1 shows the results of the average degradation percentages obtained in each process.
By analyzing Table 1, it can be seen that the photolysis process was not able to promote the degradation of the dye, especially when using LED radiation. The photoperoxidation/UV-C system led to degradations of 72.7 and 83.8% for $\lambda$ of 334 and 622 nm, respectively. However, the photoperoxidation process associated with LED radiation was also not efficient, which can be explained by the lower energy emitted by this type of radiation to perform the homolytic breakdown of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (NASCIMENTO JÚNIOR et al. 2018). With regard to the photo-Fenton process, it managed to degrade the dye under study in the two radiations analyzed, especially with regard to the chromophore group (622 nm). In this AOP, ferrous ions act as electron donors for radical systems, while hydrogen peroxide decomposes into radicals and hydroxyl ions, causing the oxidation of ferrous ions to ferric ions (SOUZA et al. 2010). Thus, the photo-Fenton/LED and photoperoxidation/UV-C systems went on to the optimization step of the variables $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ and $[\text{Fe}]$ (when applicable). The results of photoperoxidation using UV-C radiation are shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2 – Study of the influence of $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ on the photoperoxidation/UV-C system
In the analysis of Figure 2, there is an increase in degradation when the concentration of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ is increased to 120 mg L$^{-1}$. From this $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ on, there is a stabilization in the degradation values for 2 evaluated wavelengths. The reduction in treatment efficiency is associated with the hydroperoxy radical ($\text{HO}_2^\cdot$), formed by the reaction between the $\text{HO}^\cdot$ radicals and the excess of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ in the medium (SU et al. 2011). Thus, the limiting concentration of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ to treat acid blue dye 80 via photoperoxidation/UV-C was equal 120 mg L$^{-1}$. Once the best working condition for photoperoxidation was defined, the ideal $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ was also determined for the photo-Fenton process in the two radiations under study (UV-C and LED) (Figure 3).

Figure 3 – Study of the influence of $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ on the photo-Fenton process using radiation: (a) UV-C with $[\text{Fe}] = 3$ mg L$^{-1}$ (b) LED with $[\text{Fe}] = 1$ mg L$^{-1}$. Experimental conditions: pH between 3-4, V = 50 mL, t = 60 min

Through the analysis of Figure 3 it is possible to verify that there were no significant differences in the $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ used, achieving a high percentage of degradation especially for the $\lambda$ of 622 nm. By analyzing the radiations separately, it can be seen that for UV-C radiation (Figure 3 (a)) the increase in $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ up to 60 mg L$^{-1}$ favored the treatment efficiency, while using LED radiation (Figure 3 (b)), there was only a stabilization of degradation from $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ of 90 mg L$^{-1}$. These results show that LED radiation exerts less influence than UV-C radiation for degradation of AA80 dye.

Nevertheless, when evaluating the acquisition and operation costs of the photo-Fenton/LED system, it is observed that is application is more advantageous in relation...
to the use of the same process with UV-C radiation. This advantage is related to the difference between the average price of the LED lamp (US $ 5.55) with 10 W of power and the UV-C lamp (US $ 19.30) with 30 W of power, besides the operational cost being US $ 0.00408 an hour, using 3 10W LED bulbs and US $ 0.012 an hour using 3 UV-C bulbs (30W). It is noteworthy that the calculation of the operation cost was performed based on the photo emission values 3.75x10^3 W cm^{-2} and 1.85x10^3 W cm^{-2}, of the set of 3 LED and UV-C lamps, respectively, considering the average value of US $ 0.136 por kWh, established by Companhia Energética de Pernambuco (NEOENERGIA) for type B3 units.

With the ideal concentrations of oxidant for each case, the influence of iron concentration (Figure 4) on the photo-Fenton process was studied.

Figure 4 – Study of the influence of [Fe] on the photo-Fenton process using radiation: (a) UV-C with [H_2O_2] = 60 mg L^{-1} and (b) LED with [H_2O_2] = 90 mg L^{-1}. Experimental conditions: pH between 3-4, V = 50 mL, t = 60 min

In Figure 4, it is observed that a greater efficiency of the photo-Fenton process, in the two analyzed λ, was achieved when using the smallest [Fe] for the two radiations tested. This behavior can be explained by the self-inhibition of hydroxyl radicals when there is an excess of ferrous ions (BENSALAH et al. 2019). A similar result was obtained by Nascimento et al. (2018) when treatment Reactive Gray BF-2R dye via photo-
Fenton/UV-C. The authors achieved greater treatment efficiency when using [Fe] of 1 mg L$^{-1}$, also using pH between 3-4.

To ensure the efficiency of the tested processes, a study of the volume variation of the treated solution was carried out using the best conditions already established. For this purpose, 50, 100, 200, 500 and 1000 mL of the dye solution were subjected to treatments, with the results shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5 – Study of volume variation for the systems: (a) photoperoxidation/UV-C (b) photo-Fenton/UV-C and (c) photo-Fenton/LED

As can be seen in Figure 5, the increase in the volume of solution to be treated led to differences in degradations below 10% for the three systems evaluated. The same behavior was observed by Silva et al. (2020) for the solution of the dyes direct red 23 (DR23), direct red 227 (DR227) and direct orange 26 (DO26). Thus, it was possible to conduct the kinetic evaluation of the processes, using a volume of 1000 mL. The results of the kinetic study employing the best operating conditions defined for each selected system are shown in Figure 6.
Figure 6 – Degradation kinetics of the acid blue dye solution 80 using the processes: (a) photoperoxidation/UV-C with pH 5-6 and [H$_2$O$_2$] = 120 mg L$^{-1}$ (b) photo-Fenton/UV-C with pH 3-4, [H$_2$O$_2$] = 60 mg L$^{-1}$ and (c) photo-Fenton/LED with pH 3-4, [H$_2$O$_2$] = 90 mg L$^{-1}$. Experimental conditions: T = 31 ± 1ºC, [Fe] = 1 mg L$^{-1}$ and p = 1 atm.

Through the analysis of Figure 6, it can be stated that for the three processes analyzed, the degradation of the AA80 dye occurs more intensely in the first 60 min of reaction, however the stabilization stage starts at different times for each of the systems. The degradation percentages obtained at the end of the process for $\lambda$ 622 nm were equal 95.14, 100 and 99.62% for photoperoxidation/UV-C, photo-Fenton/UV-C, and photo-Fenton/LED, respectively. Regarding the $\lambda$ of 334 nm, degradations of 92.41, 92.63 and 90.19% were achieved for the systems already mentioned, respectively. To assess the kinetic models used in more detail, Table 2 describes the parameters related to the kinetic adjustments obtained for each system.
Table 2 – Kinetic parameters of the models by Chan and Chu (2003) and He et al. (2016) for the different AOP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>PP UV-C 334 nm</th>
<th>PP UV-C 622 nm</th>
<th>PF UV-C 334 nm</th>
<th>PF UV-C 622 nm</th>
<th>PF LED 334 nm</th>
<th>PF LED 622 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.04±0.01</td>
<td>0.08±0.01</td>
<td>0.21±0.04</td>
<td>0.40±0.02</td>
<td>0.04±0.01</td>
<td>0.06±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He et al. (2016)</td>
<td>ρ (min)</td>
<td>17.01±0.14</td>
<td>5.66±0.38</td>
<td>1.44±0.09</td>
<td>0.71±0.034</td>
<td>8.25±1.67</td>
<td>8.25±1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>σ</td>
<td>0.87±0.01</td>
<td>1.02±0.01</td>
<td>1.07±0.01</td>
<td>0.99±0.01</td>
<td>1.09±0.05</td>
<td>0.95±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PP - photoperoxidation; PF- photo-Fenton

Based on the data presented in Table 2, it was possible to observe a better fit of the experimental data to the model proposed by Chan and Chu (2003), with R² values greater than 0.94, in all analyzed processes. On the other hand, only photoperoxidation could be described by the model proposed by He et al. (2016), since the systems employing the photo-Fenton AOP presented R² < 0.90 for the two monitored λ. It is also observed that for 622 nm, both processes that made use of UV-C radiation had a more accentuated degradation. This can be confirmed by the value referring to the reaction kinetics (ρ), since the lower its value, the greater the initial decay related to the evaluated wavelength (PAULINO et al. 2015). Once the kinetic study was completed, the removal of the AA80 dye was evaluated through the adsorptive process.

3.3 Adsorbent characterization

Determining the pH of the point of zero charge in the adsorptive process is essential to understand the surface charge of the adsorbent, which is responsible for conducting the electrostatic interactions between the material and the adsorbate (NASCIMENTO et al. 2014). Therefore, initially the pHₚ₂₃ of the prepared adsorbent was determined (Figure 7 (a)). In addition, Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis was performed, Figure 7 (b), in order to determine the functional groups, present on the surface of the adsorbent of chicken eggshell in natura.
Analyzing Figure 7 (a) it is verified that the pH_{PZC} of the material in natura is equal to 10. Knowing that for pH values of the solution below pH_{PZC} the surface of the adsorbent will be positively charged, and that for values above pH_{PZC} the surface will be negatively charged, the adsorption of anionic species such as the dye under study, should be favored in values of pH lower than pH_{PZC} (TEMESGEN; GABBIYE; SAHU, 2018; ÇELEKLI; AL-NUAIMI.; BOZKURT, 2019).

According to the spectrum shown in Figure 8 (b), it is possible to observe the presence of bands referring to calcium carbonate at 712 cm\(^{-1}\) and 872 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively (RODRÍGUEZ-NAVARRO et al. 2013). Tsai et al. (2006) observed bands around 743 cm\(^{-1}\) and 870 cm\(^{-1}\) related to deformations in the planes that indicate the presence of CaCO\(_3\). It is also verified the presence of a band that is related to the vibration of the stretching of phosphate groups with wavelengths around 1550 – 899 cm\(^{-1}\), in addition to the peak of 1574 cm\(^{-1}\) associated with primary amide (CHOUDHARY; KOPPALA; SWAMIAPPAN, 2015; RODRÍGUEZ-NAVARRO et al. 2015). On the other hand, it is also observed the presence of peaks at 1646, 1796 and 2361 cm\(^{-1}\), referring respectively to the vibration of the primary amine, the stretching of C=O bonds of the amide groups and the maximum peak attributed to Ca(OH)\(_2\) (LI et al. 2014; ELETTA et al. 2016).

After the characterization of the adsorbent material, the study of the variables of the adsorbent process was carried out.
3.4 Study of the variables for the adsorptive process: factorial design

The factorial design aimed to define the best condition for the adsorptive treatment based on the study of the particle size, pH and stirring speed variables. Thus, the data obtained were processed in the Statistica 6.0 software, obtaining Pareto charts for each $\lambda$ monitored (Figure 8) with 95% confidence level.

Figure 8 – Pareto charts obtained factorial design analysis $2^3$ plus central point for $\lambda$ of: a) 334 nm and b) 622 nm

In Figure 8, it is possible to observe that only the pH variable influenced the removal of the contaminant for both analyzed wavelengths. This variable had negative values, indicating that a greater adsorptive capacity is obtained for the lowest pH level studied. In view of the non-interference of the other analyzed variables, it was decided to follow the studies using the lowest stirring speed, that is, no stirring and the largest particle size. These choices were made in order to reduce costs, since an energy source does not need to be used and use the adsorbent in the granulometric form with greater availability. With these data, the kinetic study of the adsorptive process could be carried out.

3.5 Adsorption kinetic study

Using a pH equal to 2, adsorbent particle size less than 0.9 mm and without using an energy source for stirring, the kinetic study was carried out. From the experimental data obtained, it was possible to analyze their convergence to pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order kinetic models (Figure 9).
Figure 9 – Kinetic study: experimental data and adjustments to pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order models. Experimental conditions: pH range between 2-3, without stirring and in the largest particle size of the adsorbent.

Figure 9 shows a rapid evolution of kinetics in the first 20 min, which must be associated with greater availability of active sites at the beginning of the process. This allows the contaminant to quickly coat the entire surface of the adsorbent. Then, the deceleration of the adsorption rate is started, which must occur due to the low speed in the diffusion of the dye molecules in the pores of the material used (JAFFARI et al. 2020). Equilibrium was reached in 30 min and presented a removal rate 62.45 and 83.22%, respectively, for λ = 334 nm and 622 nm.

As can be seen in Figure 10, the two kinetic models evaluated presented a good fit to the experimental data. The parameters of the kinetic adjustments for the batch adsorptive process are shown in Table 3.

Table 3 – Kinetic parameters for pseudo-first order and pseudo-second-order models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>334 nm</th>
<th>622 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-first order</td>
<td>$q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$0.62 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$1.21 \pm 0.03$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_1$ (min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$462 \pm 1$</td>
<td>$0.46 \pm 0.13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-second order</td>
<td>$q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$0.62 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$1.24 \pm 0.03$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_2$ (g mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$1.21 \times 10^{-44} \pm 1.47 \times 10^{-43}$</td>
<td>$1.10 \pm 0.49$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the data presented in Table 3, it is observed that the linear regression coefficients ($R^2$) are greater than 0.9 for the $\lambda$ and models studied. Therefore, when verifying the results in relation to the $\lambda$ of 334 nm, it was observed that the two models presented similar $R^2$ and $Sr^2$ values, thus demonstrating a good fit for both. In relation to the $\lambda$ of 622 nm, the pseudo-second order model obtained a better fit, compared to the pseudo-first order model, as it presented a higher $R^2$ value and a lower $Sr^2$ value. Thus, it is suggested that the treatment of AA80 dye using chicken eggshell as an adsorbent follows a pseudo-second order models, which predicts the chemisorption mechanism over time until reaching the equilibrium state (YU et al. 2020).

Still analyzing Table 3, it is verified that the kinetic constants obtained for the $\lambda$ of 334 nm presented high values, corroborating the behavior of the dye removal curve shown in Figure 10. According to Tan and Hameed (2017), the kinetic constants are inversely proportional to the time it takes system to reach equilibrium. Thus, the faster the adsorption, the greater the constants. With the results obtained for the adsorption kinetics, it was passed to the step of evaluating the equilibrium of the adsorptive process.

3.6 Adsorption equilibrium study

It was possible to analyze the adsorption behavior of the acid blue dye 80 on the adsorbent in natura by adjusting the experimental data to the models isotherms of Langmuir, Freundlich and Sips isotherms, from equilibrium study that was carried out in 30 min. Thus, the isotherms for each studied $\lambda$ were obtained as can be seen in Figure 10.

From Figure 10, a better fit can be observed for the Langmuir and Sips models, which can be confirmed by the parameter values obtained from the isotherm model fits that are shown in Table 4.
Figure 10 – Fitting the isotherms for the Langmuir, Freundlich and Sips models for the λ of: a) 334 nm and b) 622 nm

Table 4 – Isotherm parameters for models of Langmuir, Freundlich and Sips

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>334 nm</th>
<th>622 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir</td>
<td>$q_{\text{max}}$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>6.00 ± 0.66</td>
<td>9.50 ± 1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_L$ (L mg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_r^2$</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freundlich</td>
<td>$K_F$ (mg g$^{-1}$)(mg L$^{-1}$)$^{1/n_F}$</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n_F$</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_r^2$</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sips</td>
<td>$q_{\text{max}}$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>4.84 ± 0.77</td>
<td>10.71 ± 4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_S$ (L mg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n_S$</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_r^2$</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table 4, the experimental data presented a good fit to the three equilibrium models evaluated, with values of $R^2 > 0.90$. However, the Langmuir and Sips models presented the highest $R^2$ values and the lowest $S_r^2$, indicating that the interaction between the contaminant and the adsorbent can be represented by these two models. The Langmuir isotherm described adsorption as a monolayer covering in which the adsorption rate limiting factor is the availability of active sites (YU et al. 2020). On the other hand, the Sips model is related to the limiting behavior of its equation,
that is, for low concentrations of adsorbate, the equation effectively reduces to a Freundlich isotherm and thus does not obey Henry's law. For high concentrations, a monolayer adsorption capacity, characteristic of the Langmuir isotherm is predicted (HO; PORTER; McKAY, 2002). Thus, the adsorption of the AA80 dye by the hen's eggshell can be represented by the Langmuir and Sips models.

After completing the study of the process of removing the dye AA80 via adsorption, the treatment step was carried out by combining this process with the AOP.

### 3.7 Advanced oxidation process followed by adsorption

Given the above mentioned studies, the photoperoxidation/UV-C (PP/UV-C) and photo-Fenton/LED (PF/LED) systems showed the best results in terms of cost/benefit. Despite the good degradation efficiency of these systems, AOP can generate, during the treatment, intermediate compounds with greater toxicity than the initial compounds. Thus, after the completion of the systems using the AOP, the treated solution was submitted to the adsorptive process (ADS), using the raw chicken eggshell adsorbent, in order to remove these possible compounds generated in the process. Thus, based on the results of the kinetic evaluations and identified the point of stabilization of each process, the combined study (AOP/ADS) was carried out by submitting the AA80 solution to 90 min of the AOP and 30 min of adsorption, under the optimal conditions of each system. The results of treatments after the AOP and after the combined (AOP/ADS) are shown in Figure 11.

From Figure 10, it is possible to observe that for the PP/UV-C+ADS and PF/LED+ADS systems there is a reduction in the intensity of the peaks studied when compared to the systems that made exclusive use of the treatment via AOP. For the $\lambda = 334$ nm, the treatment efficiency before and after the combined system increased from 90.27% to 96.43% (PP/UV-C system) and from 88.68% to 97.12% (PF/LED system). While for $\lambda = 622$ nm the efficiency remained around 99% for both systems. This shows that the combined use of the two processes can increase the final quality of the treated matrix.
Then, toxicity tests were carried out for carrot seeds. In the positive control assays, no germination was observed. The data obtained for the other samples are shown in Table 5.

Table 5 – GI(%) and RGI values for carrot seed (*Daucus carota subsp. Sativus*) in different systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>GI (%)</th>
<th>RGI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative control (water)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Solution</td>
<td>30.78</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photoperoxidation/ UV-C</td>
<td>61.30</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photoperoxidation/UV-C + ADS</td>
<td>65.47</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo-Fenton/LED</td>
<td>18.84</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo-Fenton/LED + ADS</td>
<td>60.08</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When analyzing the germination and growth indexes of carrot seeds (Table 5), it can be seen that the initial solution of the AA80 dye and the treated solutions showed toxicity, as the RGI values were lower than the negative control. Among the proposed treatments, the photo-Fenton/LED system was the most harmful to seeds. The adsorptive process combined with this AOP reduced the toxicity, raising the RGI by 58%.

However, although the association of processes has allowed a significant reduction in the toxicity of the toxicity of the dye solution by increasing the germination
and growth indices, according to Young et al. (2012), it did not completely decrease toxicity. This is due to the fact that the RGI remained below 0.8.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In view of the study carried out, it was observed that the processes of photoperoxidation and photo-Fenton, using UV-C and LED radiation, respectively, were efficient in the degradation of the acid blue dye 80 (AA80) in aqueous solution. Despite the high levels of degradation of the contaminant achieved, the AA80 dye solutions showed toxicity to the organism tested before and after treatment by AOP. Therefore, the adsorptive study was used in combination with the AOP, aiming to improve the final quality of the post-treatment solution. The chicken eggshell, in natura, was used as a biosorbent in view of the application of this residue, as well as its high efficiency in the removal of organic and inorganic compounds. The combined treatment (AOP+ADS) showed greater removal of the studied pollutant, improving the toxicity indexes when compared to the treatment by isolated AOP. Thus, the combination of processes proved to be a viable alternative for the treatment of textile dyes, as well as the use of agro-industrial waste as an adsorbent.
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