

Submissão: 10/06/2018 Aprovação: 14/11/2019 Publicação: 06/04/2020

Consequences of soil attributes on the productivity and eucalypt drought response in two climate types in Brazil

Consequências dos atributos dos solos na produtividade e reposta à seca do eucalipto em dois tipos de clima no Brasil

Vinicius Evangelista Silva¹, Salatier Buzetti¹¹, Jean-Paul Laclau¹¹¹, Rafael Montanari^{II}, Alan Rodrigo Panosso^{IV}, Sharlles Christian Moreira Dias^V, João Flávio da Silva^V

Abstract

The present study aimed to evaluate the correlations between productivity and eucalyptus drought (DR) response between soil attributes in two distinct climatic types in Brazil. For this, 24 experiments were installed in Brazil with four common clones in all the experiments to obtain strong edaphoclimatic contrasts, and, thus, to measure the productivity and the response to drought and to describe its relationship with the attributes of the soils. Two climatic groups were evaluated: Wet (precipitation rate: evapotranspiration between 1.0 to 2.5) and Sub-Humid (precipitation rate: evapotranspiration between 0.5 to 1.0). The attributes of the evaluated soils were: Sand, Silt, Clay, organic matter (O.M.), cation exchange capacity (CEC). For sites located in the wet climate class, CVWB correlated negatively with O.M. and clay, and positively with the sand. For DR, the correlations were observed for the Sub-Humid climate for the CEC and Sand variables, and for the Humid climate, no correlations were observed between the attributes of soils with DR.

Keywords: Climate; Edaphoclimatic groups; Tolerance to forest aridity; Soil quality

Resumo

O objetivo do presente trabalho foi avaliar as correlações entre produtividade e resposta à seca (RS) do eucalipto entre os atributos do solo em dois tipos climáticos distintos no Brasil. Para isto, foram instalados 24 experimentos no Brasil com 4 clones comuns em todos os experimentos para obter fortes contrastes edafoclimáticos, e, desse modo, mensurar a produtividade e a reposta à seca e descrever as suas relações com os atributos de solos. Foram avaliados dois grupos climáticos: Úmido (relação precipitação: evapotranspiração entre 1,0 a 2,5) e Subúmido (relação precipitação: evapotranspiração entre 0,5 a 1,0). Os atributos dos solos avaliados foram: Areia, Silte, Argila, matéria orgânica (M.O.), capacidade de troca catiônica (CTC). Para os sítios localizados na classe climática Úmida, o VCCC correlacionouse negativamente com a M.O. e argila, e positivamente com a Areia. Para a RS, as correlações foram observadas para clima Subúmido para as variáveis CTC e Areia, e para o clima Úmido, não foram observadas correlações entre os atributos de solos com a RS.

Palavras-chave: Clima; Grupos edafoclimáticos; Tolerância à aridez florestal; Qualidade do solo

Engenheiro Florestal, Dr., Eldorado Brasil Celulose, BR-158, Km 231, S/N, Fazenda Santa Vera, Três Lagoas (MS), CEP 79641-300, Brasil. sharlles. dias@eldoradobrasil.com.br (ORCID: 0000-0002-6716-7142) / joao.sila@eldoradobrasil.com.br (ORCID: 0000-0002-5745-7541)



Engenheiro Florestal, Dr., VIMEF Soluções Florestais, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Av. Brasil Sul, 56, Centro, CEP 15385-000, Ilha Solteira (SP), Brasil. viniciusesilva@yahoo.com.br (ORCID: 0000-0002-9422-7657)

Brash, vinclusesiva@yano.com.or (ORCID: 0000-0002-9422-765) Engenheiro Agrônomo, Dr., Professor Titular / Adjunto do Departamento de Fitossanidade, Engenharia Rural e Solos, Faculdade de Engenharia de Ilha Solteira, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Av. Brasil, 56, CEP 15385-000, Ilha Solteira (SP), Brasil. sbuzetti@agr.feis.unesp.br (ORCID: 0000-0003- 2569-4750) / r.montanari@unesp.br (ORCID: 0000-0002-3557-2362) Engenheiro Florestal, Dr., Eco&Sols, Université de Montpellier, CIRAD, INRA, IRD, Montpellier SupAgro, 389 Avenue Agropolis, 34980,

III Montferrier-sur-Lez, Montpellier, Franca. jean-paul.laclau@cirad.fr (ORCID: 0000-0002-2506-214X) IV

Engenheiro Agrônomo, Dr., Professor Assistente Doutor do Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Faculdade de Ciências Agrárias e Veterinárias, câmpus de Jaboticabal, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Via de Acesso Prof. Paulo Donato Castellane, s/n, CEP 14884-900, Jaboticabal (SP), Brasil. alan.panosso@unesp.br (ORCID: 0000-0001-9916-1696)

Introduction

High rates of growth depend on the application of results of the research programs in genetic improvement (RESENDE *et al.*, 2012) and silvicultural practices, including site preparation, fertilization, spacing and weed control (GONÇALVES *et al.*, 2013), the effects of soils on the eucalyptus productivity (GONÇALVES *et al.*, 2012). The climate strongly influences the growth of planted forests of Eucalyptus; a doubling of precipitation from 800 mm yr⁻¹ to 1600 mm yr⁻¹ along a 100 km gradient in Bahia state led to a 3-fold increase in wood growth (from 10 Mg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ to 30 Mg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (STAPE; RYAN; BINKLEY, 2004). Variation of wood productivity in the *Eucalyptus grandis* plantations, characterized in six soil classes, with total amplitude ranging from 26 to 52 m³ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (2-fold), but in only one place (GONÇALVES *et al.*, 2013). However, regional-scale studies addressing the soils and climate are still poorly studied and require further grounding by soil scientists (VOLKOFF *et al.*, 2012)

One of the efficient ways to reduce the cost of wood is to increase forest productivity, and one of the strategies to achieve this goal is to know the main environmental stresses to *Eucalyptus* that are factors that reduce productivity. Among these, two stand out due to their association with the new forest frontiers, namely: i) Water stress, mainly in the central-west, north, northeast, and part of southeastern Brazil; and ii) Thermal stress related to high temperatures (above 36 °C) in tropical Brazil, or at low temperatures (below 5 °C) in southern Brazil. Thus, the cooperative program on the Tolerance of Eucalyptus Clones to Water and Thermal Stresses (TECHS) was proposed through a very robust experimental network installed in Brazil and Uruguay.

A large scientific effort is made to isolate and quantify these forest production factors (STAPE; RYAN; BINKLEY, 2004; GONÇALVES *et al.*, 2012). But sometimes it becomes difficult and complex to separate them, due to the intrinsic spatial and temporal confounding of these factors and forest productivity (STAPE *et al.*, 2010). Since all the TECHS experiments were planted at the same time, this temporal confounding is reduced, and the spatial question is being very well evaluated because the TECHS are located in 24 sites in Brazil.

Besides, the global climate change factor has considerably influenced the crop productivity through increasing global average temperature, and evapotranspiration and the water demand of eucalyptus (ASSAD *et al.*, 2004). In the context of this work, the TECHS discusses the climatic factors (BINKLEY *et al.*, 2017), however, the sensitivity of the productivity of the clones to the different soil characteristics in the respective climatic conditions has not yet been considered in this experiment. That is, knowing the physical-chemical characteristics of the soils, it becomes possible to understand some soil-climate relations for different clones.

Thus, the present study aimed to evaluate the interactions between productivity, response to the drought of eucalyptus and the attributes of the Soils in Brazil (tropical and subtropical) in sites with two types of climate: Wet and Sub-Humid.

Material and methods

A group of breeders to be deployed at all the TECHS sites defined a group of 18 *Eucalyptus* clones. These clones represented the different genetic materials in use in Brazil today, but with different species characteristics, susceptibility to water and thermal stresses. The diversity of eco-physiological behaviors within appropriate levels of productivity was sought here. All measurements and information from these clones were shared among the companies participating in the TECHS to define the pool of clones to be used in the TECHS.

Due to the great climatic amplitude of Brazil, the clones were divided into four groups: a) Tropical Clones and of Humid regions (Type U); b) Tropical clones and drier regions (Type S); c) Clones from colder subtropical regions (Type F); and d) Intermediate clones and more Plastics (Type P). In this work, only four plastic clones planted in the TECHS were used and were indicated for the experiment because they are clones widely planted operationally in the forest companies in Brazil, namely: A1, C3, K2, and Q8. The main genetic characteristics and some climatic parameters of the clones used in these studies are presented, according to data reported by Flores *et al.* (2016) and Binkley *et al.* (2017) (Table 1).

Table 1 – The four genotypes of *Eucalyptus* in the network of the TECHS experiments, the climate of origin in which each clone was developed during breeding programs and where they were selected

Tabela 1 – Os quatro genótipos de *Eucalyptus* na rede de experimentos do TECHS, clima de origem em que cada clone foi desenvolvido durante os programas de melhoramento e onde foram selecionados

Clones	Genotype	Average Annual Temperature (°C)	Rainfall (mm)	Climate	Natural Occurrence	The climate in which the clone was selected
A1	Eucalyptus urophylla	16-27	1000- 2000	Tropical and subtropical	Predominantly Aw, and to a lesser extent in climates Af, Am, Cwa and Cwb	Cwa
C3 ¹	Eucalyptus grandis x Eucalyptus camaldulensis	15-22 and 16-27	800-2000 and 500- 1500	Tropical and subtropical	Eucalyptus grandis -Predominantly Cfa, and to a lesser extent in climates Cwa, Cwb, and Cfb. Eucalyptus camaldulensis - predominantly Aw, to a lesser extent As, Cwa, Cwb	As
K2	Eucalyptus saligna	13-18	900-1400	Subtropical	Predominantly Cfb, Cfa, Cwb	Сfb
Q8	Eucalyptus grandis	15-22	800-2000	Subtropical	Predominantly Cfa, and to a lesser extent in climates Cwa, Cwb, and Cfb.	Af

Source: Authors (2018)

On what: A1, C3, K2, Q8 = commercially planted eucalyptus clones in Brazil; ¹ Clone C3 is a hybrid of *Eucalyptus grandis* x *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, and thus the climatic parameters of the two species have been described.

Two composite samples were collected for each site, with layers 0-20 and 20-40 cm depth (Table 2). Each composite sample represented 20 simple soil samples collected systematically in a zigzag path throughout the entire experimental area. Soil pH was determined in water (pH), in a ratio of 1:2.5 (soil: water). Organic matter (O.M.) determined by the sodium bichromate digestion method (RAIJ; QUAGGIO; CANTARELLA, 1987). Ca, Mg and Al extracted with 1 mol L⁻¹ KCl and analyzed by titulometry analysis (EMBRAPA, 1997). P and K available through an anion exchange resin extractor and determined, respectively, by colorimetry and flame photometry. The potential acidity (H + Al) was determined indirectly through SMP solution and quantified in potentiometer (QUAGGIO; RAIJ; MALAVOLTA, 1985). The saturation by bases (V) and by aluminum (m), Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC), Base Sum (BS) were determined indirectly from the values of potential acidity, exchangeable bases and exchangeable aluminum, as described by Ribeiro, Guimarães and Venegas (1999). Soil micronutrients Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe, extracted by Mehlich-1; boron (B) by weighing 20 g of soil with 40 ml of deionized water, heated to boiling under reflux for five minutes and, after cooling the solution, three drops of 0.1 mol L⁻¹ CaCl₂ and filtered the material for the boron determinations (SILVA; FERREIRA, 1998). Concerning the

physical analysis, a granulometric analysis was performed by the densimeter method (EMBRAPA, 1997), and the samples were dispersed with sodium hexametaphosphate, and the clay, silt and sand granulometry were considered. All the soil attributes result presented in this work refer to the average of the layers 0-20 and 20-40 cm.

Table 2 - History of land use and occupation before the installation of the TECHS

Tabela 2 – Histórico de uso e ocupação do solo antes da instalação do TECHS

TECHS	County	State	Order of soil	рН	O.M	CEC	Clay	Silt	Sand	Species / Vegetation Prior to Experiment	Years of Soil Occupation
2	Arapoti	PR	Oxisol	4.1	35.5	92.4	70.8	21.8	7.3	Pinus	40 years
4	Belo Oriente	MG	Oxisol	4.1	35.0	61.7	58.9	10.4	30.6	Eucalyptus	40 years
5	Guanhães	MG	Oxisol	3.9	41.0	92.3	43.7	13.4	42.9	Eucalyptus	30 years
6	Eldorado do Sul	RS	Ultisol	3.7	25.0	128.0	27.4	18.6	54.1	Eucalyptus	14 years
7	Rio Verde	GO	Entisol	4.5	19.0	35.8	6.3	4.5	89.2	Eucalyptus	20 years
9	Estrela do Sul	MG	Oxisol	3.9	43.0	88.8	80.0	9.0	11.1	Pinus	35 years
10	Botucatu	SP	Oxisol	4.3	30.0	66.7	19.6	9.4	71.0	Eucalyptus	-
11	Chapadão do Sul	MS	Oxisol	4.3	23.5	55.0	15.1	9.0	75.9	Pasture	-
12	Aracruz	ES	Oxisol	4.0	18.0	40.7	30.2	12.1	57.7	Eucalyptus	20 years
13	Três Lagoas	MS	Oxisol	4.0	11.0	62.7	14.0	8.7	77.3	Pasture	-
14	Inocência	MS	Entisol	4.1	10.5	64.8	10.0	8.4	81.6	Pasture	-
15	Brejinho do Nazaré	ТО	Entisol	4.2	7.5	64.6	10.0	8.0	82.0	Cerrado	-
17	Três Marias	MG	Oxisol	4.1	15.5	47.4	13.8	5.4	80.8	Eucalyptus	40 years
19	Peixe	ТО	Oxisol	4.0	13.5	77.5	20.2	14.1	65.8	Pasture	5 years
20	Mogi- Guaçu	SP	Oxisol	4.1	34.0	97.2	41.3	16.3	42.4	Eucalyptus	45 years
22	Telemaco Borba	PR	Oxisol	4.0	52.0	183.8	55.8	22.7	21.5	Eucalyptus	8 years
23	Otacílio Costa	SC	Inceptisol	3.9	44.5	338.0	42.8	27.7	29.4	Pinus	16 years
24	Borebi	SP	Entisol	4.6	12.0	104.5	7.5	3.2	89.3	Eucalyptus	14 years

Table 2 – Conclusion ...

Tabela 2 – Conclusão ...

TECHS	County	State	Order of soil	рН	O.M	CEC	Clay	Silt	Sand	Species / Vegetation Prior to Experiment	Years of Soil Occupation
26	Coração de Jesus	MG	Oxisol	3.9	32.0	120.6	34.6	6.0	59.4	Cerrado	-
27	Antônio Olinto	PR	Ultisol	3.9	25.5	141.5	13.9	5.2	80.9	Pinus	16 years
28	Três Barras	SC	Oxisol	3.8	51.0	291.1	60.2	23.6	16.2	Eucalyptus	12 years
29	Urbano Santos	MA	Entisol	4.1	16.0	91.4	8.8	4.6	86.7	Cerrado	-
30	Bocaiúva	MG	Oxisol	3.9	47.5	209.1	76.4	14.1	9.6	Eucalyptus	20 years
33	Buri	SP	Oxisol	4.1	27.9	111.1	33.1	24.0	42.9	Eucalyptus	20 years

Source: Authors (2018)

On what: TECHS = number of the techs site that identifies where it was planted; MG = state of Minas Gerais; PR = state of Paraná; RS = state of Rio Grande do Sul; GO = state of Góias; SP =state of São Paulo; MS = state of Mato Grosso do Sul; TO= state of Tocantins; SC = state of Santa Catarina; MA = state of Maranhão; pH in water = hydrogen potential; O.M. = Organic matter (g dm-3); CEC = Cation Exchange Capacity (mmolc / dm⁻³); Clay = soil clay content (%); Silt = soil silt content (%); Sand = soil sand content (%).

The plantations were carried out in areas of reforestation (*Eucalyptus* sp. and *Pinus* sp.), grass, as well as in areas of Cerrado (Table 2). The number of rotations ranged from 1st to 5th forest rotation, and the average forest rotation duration was between 6-7 years. The soil preparation was the operational one of the Brazilian forest companies, which its average ranged from 40 to 50 cm deep, and lateral squatting of 70 cm, using the subsoiler/scarifier for soil preparation. This information will be important to justify the soil attributes contents, as well as the yield and drought response results presented in this study.

Additionally, the history of soil use and occupation before the TECHS experiment was presented. It is observed that the areas of plantations in Brazil range from very new areas to areas with 45 years of *Eucalyptus* plantations. These data to portray well the reality of Brazil between traditional planting areas with extensive knowledge of forestry as well as high technological level used in wood production (e.g TECHS 20 as a traditional site for forestry areas), to silvicultural frontier areas with limited knowledge in terms of soil and climatic conditions (e.g TECHS 13 as a forestry frontier site).

The order of soil with the highest occurrence among the experiments was Ferralsol (67%), followed by Arenosol (21%), Nitisol (8%), and Cambisol (4%). According to the most up-to-date mapping of soils in Brazil (SANTOS *et al.*, 2011), Oxisols occur in approximately 31% of Brazilian territory, and this shows that forest areas are generally shifted to marginal areas with the lowest natural fertility and highly weathered but deep soils, which is the case with Oxisols (Figure 1).

Ci. Fl., Santa Maria, v. 30, n. 1, p. 117-134, jan./mar. 2020

Figure 1 - Map and location of the TECHS sites in Brazil



Figura 1 - Mapa e localização dos sítios da TECHS no Brasil

Source: Silva *et al.* (2019)

The fertilizers used previously were the same as those used in forestry companies, usually composed of NPK + S and micronutrients in the fertilization of the planting, liming in the total area without incorporation, and one or two cover fertilizations, ending the cover fertilization up to a maximum of 18 months after planting.

Each clone was planted in a single plot, with 8 Lines \times 30 trees (plot size 24 \times 90 m - 2160 m²), with trees at a square spacing of 3 \times 3 m (1111 ha⁻¹ trees). One edge of each plot had 5 rows (each one with 8 trees) available for destructive sampling throughout the project.

The diameter at breast height (DBH) and the total height of the 80 central trees of the plots with rainfall and rainfall exclusion (70% of the total rainfall) were measured, as shown in Figure 2.

Ci. Fl., Santa Maria, v. 30, n. 1, p. 117-134, jan./mar. 2020

Figure 2 – Sketch of a complete replication of the TECHS water stress test with the 4 clones. Note the central drainage line. Dimensions of 144 m x 180 m (12 lines per 60 plants, 3 m x 3 m)

Figura 2 – Esboço de uma repetição completa do teste de estresse hídrico do TECHS com os 4 clones. A seta representa a linha de drenagem central. Dimensões de 144 m x 180 m (12 linhas por 60 plantas, 3 m x 3 m)



Source: Silva et al. (2019)

For the manipulation of water availability, the "rainfall exclusion" was used in the four clones/plots studied. The technique is based on a cover made between the planting lines covering 30% of the plot surface area, thus estimating a reduction of the rainfall reaching the soil to 70% of the total precipitation. The cover was made one year after planting the trees, with *Eucalyptus* sp. poles and plastic tarps, with a slope that takes water out of the plot.

The sites presented a variation of about 10°C (17.1 - 27.5°C) between the colder and hottest sites (Table 3). The average annual rainfall ranged from 609 to 1525 mm. Likewise, climatic types ranged from the tropical climate with dry summer and the driest month with rainfall lower than 60mm, to Cwb climate with a subtropical climate with moderate summer temperature and rainfall above 40mm in the driest month (ALVARES *et al.*, 2013).

The Aridity Index was developed by Thornthwaite (1948) and supplemented by Penman (1953), as follows:

Aridity Index =
$$\frac{P(mm)}{ETP(mm)}$$

Wherein:

P (mm) = annual average of rainfall,

ETP (mm) = annual average of potential evapotranspiration calculated by the Penman-Monteith method using the storage capacity of soil water at the specific site

Table 3 – Climatic description for 24 of the TECHS sites for the growth period (0-48 months) presented in this article

Tabela 3 – Descrição climática de 24 dos sítios do TECHS para o período de crescimento (0 a 48 meses) apresentados neste artigo

TECHS	Lat	Long	Att	County	State	Tavr ºC	P (mm)	ETP (mm)	ETR (mm)	DEF (mm)	Class	Koppen Climate Classification	Aridity Index
2	-24.5	-50.0	770	Arapoti	PR	18.4	1436	569	562	7	Subtropical	Cwb	Super humid
4	-19.3	-42.4	243	Belo Oriente	MG	23.0	1065	1002	828	174	Tropical	Aw	Humid
5	-18.6	-42.9	873	Guanhães	MG	21.0	1013	796	704	92	Tropical	As	Humid
6	-30.2	-51.6	150	Eldorado do Sul	RS	20.6	1446	742	734	8	Subtropical	Cfa	Humid
7	-18.0	-50.9	681	Rio Verde	GO	23.2	1319	1036	724	312	Tropical	Aw	Humid
9	-18.7	-47.9	969	Estrela do Sul	MG	23.5	1334	1067	928	139	Tropical	Aw	Humid
10	-23.0	-48.5	869	Botucatu	SP	21.4	1332	842	783	58	Tropical	Aw	Humid
11	-18.7	-52.6	783	Chapadão do Sul	MS	22.8	1154	983	780	203	Tropical	Aw	Humid
12	-19.8	-40.1	36	Aracruz	ES	24.8	830	1218	728	490	Tropical	Aw	Sub- Humid
13	-20.9	-51.9	361	Três Lagoas	MS	25.3	1123	1325	1027	298	Tropical	Aw	Sub- Humid
14	-20.0	-51.6	480	Inocência	MS	24.3	1026	1188	916	272	Tropical	Aw	Sub- Humid
15	-11.2	-48.6	255	Brejinho do Nazaré	то	26.2	1189	1415	849	566	Tropical	Aw	Sub- Humid
17	-18.3	-45.1	806	Três Marias	MG	22.4	921	941	652	289	Tropical	As	Sub- Humid
19	-12.2	-48.5	255	Peixe	ТО	26.7	987	1495	820	675	Tropical	As	Sub- Humid
20	-22.4	-47.0	633	Mogi Guaçu	SP	22.3	1255	942	867	75	Tropical	Aw	Humid
22	-24.2	-50.5	888	Telêmaco Borba	PR	17.8	1436	569	561	7	Subtropical	Cwb	Super humid
23	-27.5	-50.1	870	Otacílio Costa	SC	17.1	1525	481	476	5	Subtropical	Cfa	Super humid
24	-22.7	-49.0	656	Borebi	SP	22.0	1116	908	800	109	Tropical	Aw	Humid
26	-16.8	-44.3	926	Coração de Jesus	MG	24.4	609	1179	496	683	Tropical	As	Sub- Humid
27	-26.0	-50.1	916	Antônio Olinto	PR	17.8	1506	538	537	1	Subtropical	Cfa	Super humid

Continuation ... Continua ... Tabela 3 - Conclusão ...

TECHS	Lat	Long	Att	County	State	Tavr ⁰C	P (mm)	ETP (mm)	ETR (mm)	DEF (mm)	Class	Koppen Climate Classification	Aridity Index
28	-26.1	-50.2	812	Três Barras	SC	17.6	993	518	470	48	Subtropical	Cfa	Humid
29	-3.4	-43.1	81	Urbano Santos	MA	27.5	878	1492	656	836	Tropical	Aw	Sub- Humid
30	-17.3	-43.8	848	Bocaiuva	MG	24.4	609	1179	570	609	Tropical	As	Sub- Humid
33	-23.9	-48.7	695	Buri	SP	20.0	1196	662	645	17	Subtropical	Cwb	Humid

Source: Authors (2018)

On what: TECHS = number of the techs site that identifies where it was planted; Lat = latitude geographic site; Long = longitude geographic site; Alt = altitude in relation to the sea level; Tavr $^{\circ}C$ = temperature annual average, P (mm) = rainfall annual average, ETP (mm) = annual average of potential evapotranspiration calculated by the Penman-Monteith method using the storage capacity of the soil water at the specific site, ETR (mm) = annual average of real evapotranspiration, DEF (mm) = annual average of water deficit using the storage capacity of the water in the soil of a specific site; Class = Tropical sites (T), Subtropical sites (ST).

The altitude ranged from 36 to 926 meters regarding the sea level, presenting an average of 619 meters (e.g TECHS 12 as the lower altitude site, near the Brazilian coast, e.g TECHS 26 as the higher altitude site).

In this way, a wide variety of climates, altitudes, temperature, and orders of soils may be perceived (Table 3 and Figure 1). This may lead to confusion between different climates and soils, for example, to compare soils and productivity in an extremely water-restrictive environment (e.g TECHS 26 in Table 2) with climates, water surpluses and consequently higher productivity (e.g TECHS 22 in Table 2). Thus, the clustering of the sites according to the climatic classification proposed by Koppen (KOPPEN, 1936) and the Aridity Index was performed to avoid comparisons of soils of very different climatically sites, which would not make sense.

Soil collections occurred before the experiment installation between the years 2011 and the beginning of 2012 before the preparation of the soil and to generate the fertilization recommendation of the experiments. All the plots were fertilized intensively during the first year (70 kg N ha⁻¹, 45 kg P ha⁻¹, 85 kg K ha⁻¹, 500 kg Ca ha⁻¹, 90 kg Mg ha⁻¹, 40 kg S ha⁻¹, 3 kg B ha⁻¹, 1 kg Cu ha⁻¹, and 1 kg Zn ha⁻¹) to relieve any nutrient limitation. The schedule of fertilizer application varied among sites, with the total application divided between 2–4 applications from the preplanting through 12 months. Herbicides were used to keep the plots weed-free.

Holloway and Stork (1991) suggest that the ideal soil attributes to study cause-effect relationships with productivity should provide immediate and accurate responses to soil fertility, several attributes are desirable to ensure good interpretations. As well as having ecological relevance, and are sensitive to long-term variations, but on the other hand, resistant to short-term variations such as changes in the atmospheric conditions and also in the evolution of culture in question. Among all the attributes presented, it was chosen to study the relationships between soil productivity and soil variables only influenced by management and fertilization after planting: organic matter (O.M.), Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and granulometry (Clay, Silt and Sand), because they are important attributes for the soil quality (HOLLOWAY; STORK, 1991).

The standards measure include growth in DBH and Height of 80 trees for each plot, measured every 6 months after the first year of planting. Each company was responsible for

Table 3 – Conclusion ...

these measurements after standardization training through the measurement protocol. For the calculation of the commercial volume with bark (CVWB), volumetric models were used based on generic and widely used volume equations in Brazil calibrated for *Eucalyptus* sp. for the same planting density in the TECHS. All evaluations of this work occurred in the measurement performed on the birthdays of the 4th-year-old of the experiments, but the ages ranged from 46 to 53 months old, with an average of 50.3 months of age.

From the volumetric data, the Dry Response (DR) was calculated for each clone in each experiment considering the equation below:

 $DR = \frac{CVWBw/o. - CVWBw.}{CVWBw/o.} *100$

Wherein:

DR = dry response (%)

CVWB w/o.= commercial volume with bark without rain exclusion.

CVWB w. = commercial volume with bark excluding rain.

To determine the determinant attributes of productivity, we used Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) for each aridity class.

Results and discussion

The coefficient of variation between the CVWBs of the clones ranged from 34% to 51% (Table 4). For DR, they ranged from 55% to 75%. For the A1 clone, the coefficient of variation (CV) for the CVWB variable presented may be considered low or regular, whereas, for the other clones, the coefficients of variation are classified as high. This reaffirms the presence of interaction genotype x environments, and automatically shows that clones behave are quite different according to the environmental conditions, but there are more plastic clones than others. However, A1 clone presented lower CV for CVWB, and on the other hand, higher coefficients of variation for DR. This clearly shows the dilemma between increased productivity and often plasticity and dry tolerance (HAKAMADA *et al.*, 2017).

Table 4 – Descriptive statistics of the plant attributes and soil physical-chemical attributes (depth 0-40 cm) of the evaluated sites in the TECHS

	Descriptive Statistics Measures											
Attribute			Value			-Coefficient	Normality test					
	Average	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Variation	Kurtosys	Asymmetry	p-value	Class			
	Plant Attributes											
CVWB A1	178.3	175.0	67.5	292.9	34%	-0.60	0.05	0.07	NO			
CVWB C3	145.0	118.2	41.6	301.1	51%	-0.56	0.38	0.03	TN			
CVWB K2	125.5	141.7	54.5	254.2	46%	-0.34	0.58	0.00	IN			
CVWB Q8	147.8	119.0	37.7	298.3	49%	-0.40	0.66	0.03	TN			
DR A1	-10.9	-10.4	-27.3	0.6	75%	-0.61	-0.40	0.10	NO			
DR C3	-8.2	-8.1	-14.2	0.6	55%	-0.16	0.48	0.08	NO			

Tabela 4 – Estatística descritiva dos atributos das plantas e atributos físico-químicos (profundidade 0-40 cm) dos solos dos sítios avaliados no TECHS

> Continuation ... Continua ...

126

Table 4 – Conclusion ...

Tabela 4 - Conclusão ...

Descriptive Statistics Measures										
			Value			-Coefficient		Normal	ity test	
Attribute	Average	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Variation	Kurtosys	Asymmetry	p-value	Class	
				Pla	nt Attributes					
DR K2	-13.9	-16.6	-24.9	1.1	58%	-0.93	0.29	0.06	NO	
DR Q8	-13.9	-14.1	-25.9	-1.1	64%	-1.31	0.04	0.21	NO	
	Soil Attributes									
рН	4.06	4.02	3.71	5.04	6%	3.5	1.6	0.00	IN	
O.M.	28.90	28.50	6.00	71.00	55%	-0.3	0.6	0.01	IN	
Р	1.98	1.00	0.00	17.00	143%	16.7	3.5	0.17	NO	
К	2.59	1.50	0.20	8.20	87%	-0.2	1.0	0.07	NO	
Ca	22.08	12.50	1.00	73.00	102%	-0.1	1.0	0.07	NO	
Mg	5.65	3.00	1.00	28.00	122%	3.9	2.1	0.03	TN	
Al	14.23	8.55	0.80	68.30	106%	7.7	2.7	0.03	TN	
H+Al	81.27	64.00	16.00	267.00	79%	2.1	1.5	0.01	IN	
SB	30.84	25.65	2.40	89.70	93%	-0.6	0.8	0.11	NO	
CEC	112.11	84.35	29.30	342.70	68%	2.4	1.7	0.07	NO	
V	28.58	25.00	2.00	85.00	83%	-0.4	0.8	0.20	NO	
m	39.77	35.50	1.00	91.00	66%	-1.0	0.4	0.00	IN	
S	11.50	10.67	1.00	39.00	85%	-0.1	0.8	0.00	IN	
Cu	0.78	0.44	0.08	5.56	137%	15.2	3.8	0.00	IN	
Zn	0.35	0.29	0.10	1.22	73%	2.8	1.7	0.37	NO	
Mn	3.65	1.53	0.06	31.80	153%	13.6	3.3	0.04	TN	
Fe	59.88	49.00	8.60	191.00	68%	1.2	1.1	0.00	IN	
В	0.13	0.12	0.01	0.27	65%	-1.2	0.1	0.00	IN	
Clay	338.9	302.0	62.5	801.5	69%	-1.0	0.5	0.01	IN	
Silt	123.2	96.4	28.4	292.0	56%	-0.5	0.7	0.02	IN	
Sand	538.0	588.3	70.0	896.5	52%	-1.4	-0.3	0.00	IN	

Source: Authors (2018)

On what: CVWB = Commercial Volume With Bark of Clones (A1, C3, K2, Q8) in m^3 ha⁻¹; DR = Dry Response (%); pH = hydrogen potential, O.M. = organic matter (g dm⁻⁵), P = phosphorus in soil (mg dm⁻⁵), K = potassium in soil (mmol_c dm⁻⁵), Ca = calcium in soil (mmol_c dm⁻⁵), Mg = magnesium in soil (mmol_c dm⁻⁵), Al = aluminum (mmol_c dm⁻⁵), H+Al = potential acidity (mmol_c dm⁻⁵), SB = Exchangeable base sum (mmol_c dm⁻⁵); CEC = cation exchange capacity (mmol_c dm⁻⁵); V = base saturation (%); m = saturation by aluminum (%); S = sulfur content in the soil (mg dm⁻⁵); Cu = copper content in the soil (mg dm⁻⁵); Ta = zinc content in the soil (mg dm⁻⁵); Mn = manganese content in the soil (mg dm⁻⁵); Fe = iron content in the soil (mg dm⁻⁵); B = boron content in the soil (mg dm⁻⁵); Clay = clay texture (g kg⁻¹); Silt = silt texture (g kg⁻¹); Sand= sand texture (g kg⁻¹). NO = normal data distribution; TN = tending to the normal distribution; IN = distribution of Undetermined data.

Another point to be considered is the fact that the reduction of 30% of the rainfall, reduced the CVWB or the DR between -8.2 and 13.9% of CVWB (Table 4), and it is, therefore, not proportional to the relation between the rainfall reduction and the eucalypts productivity. Stape *et al.* (2010) reported that irrigation increase the *Eucalyptus* sp. growth by about 30% and that the water is a major limiting factor for productivity in Brazil (productivity from 46 m⁻³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ to 62 m⁻³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). Araujo (2010) reported that irrigated eucalyptus in the Aquidauana region (MS) had productivity 41% above the rainfall conditions, and that to make the irrigation an irrigation system feasible, productivity should be higher than the rainfall conditions. Obviously, the goal of the TECHS was to evaluate or simulate a drought situation, and it may be observed that trees have very efficient ecophysiological mechanisms, in which even water being the predominant factor for growth (STAPE *et al.*, 2010), it is not the only factor, and the integration of production factors is more important.

Still in this context, and abstracting the reasoning for physiological questions, it has been that the growth occurs basically by the interaction or the ability of the plants/trees to use the growth factors as: water, light, and nutrients, especially for the Eucalyptus crop, the water factor is dominant (STAPE *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, the main organs of the plant responsible for growth would be the Eucalyptus roots, responsible for water absorption; and the stomata, which are responsible for the osmotic regulation and CO_2 inputs, for use in photosynthesis (TAIZ; ZEIGER, 2002). Many hypotheses for mechanisms causing disability mortality are currently discussed, among which cavitation and carbon formation have been the focus of many research, although additional mechanisms of carbon immobilization and transport failure may also occur (SALA; PIPER; HOCH, 2010).

Negative asymmetry coefficients suggest the trend of data concentration on the left of the average of the samples, whereas positive coefficients suggest a concentration of the data on the right of the data samples. Generally, the coefficient of asymmetry above the unit means the existence of outliers in the data set, which is strongly influenced by extreme values concerning the sample set, which generates the need for treatments of the same, as observed in Copper with an asymmetry coefficient of 3.8. These coefficients disagree with the study carried out by Carvalho *et al.* (2012), and the other data are within the patterns of the asymmetry coefficients presented in other studies in Brazil (GONÇALVES *et al.*, 2012).

It is understood as advisable to publish all attributes of the soil, raised during the initial collection of the TECHS soils, but as some chemical attributes of the soils, such as: P, K, Ca, Mg, ranged according to the management, and for the selection of the attributes considered stable in the soils (ROSSET; SCHIAVOAND; ATANÁZIO, 2014), such as O.M., CEC, Clay, Silt, and Sand.

According to the criteria established by Raij *et al.* (1996), and Ribeiro, Guimarães and Venegas (1999) the average values of the soil attributes ranged from very high (CEC, Fe), high (Ca, S), medium (O.M., K, Mg, Al, Cu, Mn, H+Al), low (m, Zn, B), very low (pH, P, SB, V). However, the analysis shows that the coefficients of variation ranged from 1.22% for soil zinc contents to 342% for CEC values, which should be weighted in the above interpretations (Table 4).

According to the criteria established by EMBRAPA (2006), the texture of the soils of the TECHS was classified as average texture (340 g kg⁻¹ clay, 120 g kg⁻¹ silt, 540 g kg⁻¹ sand). However, the texture varied from sandy to very clayey, being silt the only texture class not observed.

The very low pH contributed to the lower availability of nutrients to plants, especially P and micronutrients, in addition to conditioning Al, with medium contents tending to high, since this element ends up being preferentially adsorbed to other ions, such as calcium, magnesium, and potassium, which are indispensable to the nutrition of forest stand (DECHEN; NACHTIGALL, 2007). However, aluminum is not limiting to the growth of *Eucalyptus*, since species of the genus are highly tolerant to the expressive presence of this element in the soil (NEVES; NOVAIS; BARROS, 1982).

The average O.M. content was strongly influenced by the higher extreme values, such as sites in southern Brazil and those located in regions of the Atlantic Forest, such as site 22 (Tables 2 and 3). It may be considered, that one of the factors that contributed to O.M. is the fact that forest ecosystems are considered conservative in terms of O.M. through the biogeochemical cycling of eucalyptus plantations. That the deep leaching of nutrients occurs in a little expressive way, including the most mobile nutrients in soils, N and K (LACLAU *et al.*, 2010), and which end up being stored in O.M., which will be considered in the nutrient balance of subsequent cycles.

Generally, soils with a silty texture are Cambisols that cover the order of mineral soils with very variable characteristics, but always with medium or finer texture and absence of great pedogenetic development. They are soils with lower depth, high content of primary minerals (minerals inherited from the rock), a significant presence of rock fragments in the soil mass and other indications of incipient soil weathering (EMBRAPA, 2006). According to Laclau *et al.* (2013), the fine roots of eucalyptus develop deeply and are strongly responsible for the absorption of nutrients and water in-depth and, therefore, the Cambisols are not suitable soils for eucalyptus plantations, or they have little aptitude for the cultivation of this species.

The average productivity of CVWB was 149.1 m⁻³ ha⁻¹, ranging from 125.5 to 178.3 m³ ha⁻¹ among the most and least productive clones, being these values close to the national average of forest productivity (INDÚSTRIA BRASILEIRA DE ÁRVORES, 2015). Sustaining or increasing the high rates of Eucalyptus growth depends on a variety of changes in the future. Annual variations in the precipitation may alter gross primary production and wood production by one-third to one-half, and any regional changes in climate would likely result in regional changes in production (STAPE; BINKLEY; RYAN, 2008).

The CVWB strongly correlated in the Sub-Humid climate with the Sand, and to a weaker extent with clay and silt; already for DR correlated strongly with the independent variable CEC (Table 5). For sites located in the Wet Aridity class, CVWB strongly negatively correlated with O.M. and clay, and positively with the sand. For DR, the correlations were observed for the Sub-Humid climate for the CEC and Sand variables, and for the Humid Climate, no correlations were observed between the soil attributes with DR.

Table 5 – Pearson's linear correlation coefficient values for the soil attributes studied as a function of the aridity class for the TECHS sites

Tabela 5 – Valores do coeficiente de correlação linear de Pearson para os atributos do solo estudados em função da classe de aridez para os sítios do TECHS

Parameter	О.М.	CEC	Clay	Silt	Sand
Class of aridity			Sub-Humid ¹		
CVWB A1	0.06 ^{n.s}	-0.40 ^{n.s}	0.46 *	0.27 ^{n.s}	-0.66 ^{n.s}
CVWB C3	0.11 ^{n.s}	-0.08 ^{n.s}	0.30 ^{n.s}	0.24 ^{n.s}	-0.46 ^{n.s}
CVWB K2	-0.15 ^{n.s}	-0.24 ^{n.s}	0.20 ^{n.s}	0.47 *	-0.45 *
CVWB Q8	0.13 ^{n.s}	0.11 ^{n.s}	0.30 ^{n.s}	0.17 ^{n.s}	-0.43 ^{n.s}
CVWB avr.	0.03 ^{n.s}	-0.17 ^{n.s}	0.34 ^{n.s}	0.30 ^{n.s}	-0.54 *
DR avr.	-0.16 ^{n.s}	0.68 *	-0.34 ^{n.s}	0.02 ^{n.s}	0.40 *

Continuation ... Continua ...

Table 5 – Conclusion ...

Tabela 5 - Conclusão ...

Parameter	O.M.	CEC	Clay	Silt	Sand
Class of aridity			Humid ²		
CVWB A1	-0.50 ^{n.s}	-0.16 ^{n.s}	-0.62 *	-0.41 ^{n.s}	0.63 *
CVWB C3	-0.35 ^{n.s}	0.12 ^{n.s}	-0.48 ^{n.s}	-0.32 ^{n.s}	0.49 ^{n.s}
CVWB K2	-0.36 ^{n.s}	-0.25 ^{n.s}	-0.58 *	-0.02 ^{n.s}	0.53 *
CVWB Q8	-0.52 ^{n.s}	0.03 ^{n.s}	-0.72 *	-0.28 ^{n.s}	0.70 *
CVWB avr.	-0.59 *	-0.14 ^{n.s}	-0.82 *	-0.31 ^{n.s}	0.79 *
DR avr.	0.24 ^{n.s}	-0.19 ^{n.s}	0.31 ^{n.s}	-0.26 ^{n.s}	-0.25 ^{n.s}

Source: Authors (2018)

On what: CVWB A1, C3, K2, Q8 = trade volume with bark average of each TECHS clone. CVWB avr. = trade volume with bark average of the 4 TECHS plastic clones. DR avr. = average dry response of 4 plastic clones in TECHS. O.M. = organic matter of the soil; CEC = cation exchange capacity. Clay = clay texture of the soil. Silt = silt texture of the soil. Sand = sand texture of the soil. ¹Correlations obtained with 11 sites located in Sub-Humid aridity classes; ²Correlation obtained with 7 sites located in the Wet aridity classes. *Significant at 95% probability; n.s not significant.

The positive correlations with the CEC, Clay, and Silt variables were also highlighted in Table 5 of the Sub-Humid climate, while for the Humid Climate, Clay was negatively correlated with CVWB. In parts, this can be explained by the fact that the soil attributes in the aridity classes of the Sub-Humid sites have smaller water supply and small variations in the clay contents amplify or dampen the drought more strongly than in the Wet Aridity classes. Gava and Gonçalves (2008) reported that eucalyptus productivity reached its maximum potential between 350 and 400 g kg⁻ ¹, and then tended to stabilize. However, these authors evaluated this behavior only in one type of climate and in relatively close areas. This can be explained by completely different physical factors of soils that are easily explained in pedogenetic terms: 1) Eucalyptus productivity increases with increasing clay content to the point where oxygen saturation is optimal and water supply is maintained under adequate conditions (GAVA; GONÇALVES, 2008; STAPE et al., 2010); 2) from the point where the soil is saturated with water and added to this, the oxygen saturation point becomes low, especially for several periods of time, the yield is negatively affected (PAULA et al., 2012). On the other hand, it is also considered that as the water supply is higher in the sites of the Wetness classes, Clay becomes a reducing productivity factor due to its higher moisture retention capacity, and consequently, lower soil aeration (BREEMEN; BUURMAN, 1998), which may lead to chronic hypoxia for the forest plantations in these regions.

Still in this context, and abstracting the reasoning for physiological questions, it has been that the growth occurs basically by the interaction or the ability of the plants/trees to use the growth factors, water, light, and nutrients, especially for the *Eucalyptus* crop, the water factor is dominant (STAPE *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, the main responsible organs of the plant for growth would be the roots of the Eucalyptus, responsible for water absorption; and the stomata, which are responsible for osmotic regulation and CO_2 inputs, for use in photosynthesis (TAIZ; ZEIGER, 2002). Many hypotheses for mechanisms causing disability mortality are currently discussed, among which cavitation and carbon formation have been the focus of many research, although additional mechanisms of carbon immobilization and transport failure may also occur (MCDOWELL, 2011).

These data agree with those obtained by Zeppel, Adams and Anderegg (2011), where mortality probably occurs due to reductions in precipitation and increases in temperatures and

vapor pressure deficits (VPD), leading to a greater soil moisture deficiency and/or increased atmospheric water demand (MCDOWELL, 2011) in the most arid regions of forest plantations.

The DR showed a significant and positive correlation with CEC (R = 0.68, p < 0.05) and Sand (R = 0.40, p < 0.05) in the Sub-Humid climate, while were not observed significant correlations in the humid climate (Table 5). This is an obvious way to show that the climate stratification performed in this paper has been able to capture the limitation of water in the humid climate and the large water supply in the humid climate.

In the case of the Sub-Humid climate, it has as one of the main characteristics, a welldefined dry season (Brazilian Cerrado), it may be said that some characteristics of the trees make the plants to lose productivity: 1) During the drought, plants of all species closed stomata in response to decreased soil's water potential, but some conifer species avoid the water potentials associated with xylem embolism, as a result of early stomatal closure in relation to thresholds of hydraulic dysfunction (BLACKMAN *et al.*, 2019); 2) During drought, trees tend to lose leaves to prevent evapotranspiration (ZEPPEL; ADAMS; ANDEREGG, 2011). Recent work has shown that the time plants took to reach critical levels of water stress during final drying was similar between angiosperms (ranging from 39 to 57 days) and longer in conifers (156 days) (BLACKMAN *et al.*, 2019). These same authors report that the drying time of the plant was influenced by several factors, including the stomatal-hydraulic safety margin of the species, as well as leaf succulence and minimal stomatal conductance.

Conclusions

It can be concluded that the attribute of the soil that most affects the productivity depends strongly on climate, and in Sub-Humid climates, clay contributes positively to the increase of productivity, while in Humid climates, the opposite occurs, just as productivity response to drought (DR) also depends heavily on climate, effects of CEC and Sand are observed only in the Sub-Humid climate, which is where there is a well-defined dry season.

Acknowledgments

The TECHS Project relied on contributions from more than 150 people from 26 companies, and we thank everyone for their contributions to the Project. The project was financed by 26 companies with a principal researcher: Anglo American (Andre Machado), Arauco (Rodrigo Coutinho), Arborgen (Gabriela Bassa), ArcelorMittal (Roosevelt Almado), Cenibra (Fernando Leite), CMPC (Elias Araujo) (Ubirajara Oliveira), Copener (Jacyr Alves), Duratex (Raul Chaves), Eldorado (Vinicius Silva), Fazenda Campo Bom (Jacqueline Pirez), Fibria (Rodolfo Loos), Florestal Itaquari (Admir Mora), Forestal Oriental), Gerdau (Francisco Gomes), GMR (Paulo Leite), International Paper (Cristiane Lemos), Jari (Katia Silva), Klabin (James Stahl), Lwarcel (Marcela Capoani), Montes del Plata (Alejandro Gonzalez), Plantar (David Fernandes), Rigesa (Ricardo Paim), Suzano (Luiz Fabiano), Vallourec (Helder Andrade) and Veracel (Helton Lourenco). Fundamental assistance was provided to various aspects of the project by Luiz Barrichelo, Dario Grattaplagia, Mike Ryan, Eduardo Mattos, Robert Hubbard, Rodrigo Hakamada, Aurelio Aguiar, Leandro de Siqueira, Gleison dos Santos, and João Flavio Silva. The project also received support from these universities and institutes: University of São Paulo - Brazil, State University of São Paulo - Brazil, Federal University of Lavras - Brazil, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte - Brazil, Colorado State University - USA, North Carolina State University - USA, USDA Forest Service, CNPq - Brazil and Fapesp - Brazil.

References

ALVARES, C. A. *et al.* Köppen's climate classification map for Brazil. **Meteorologische Zeitschrift**, Stuttgart, v. 22, p. 711-728, 2013. doi: 10.1127/0941-2948/2013/0507.

ARAUJO, H. B. **Avaliação econômica de Eucalipto irrigado em diferentes cenários**. 2010. Tese (Doutorado em Agronomia – Irrigação e Drenagem) - Universidade Estadual Paulista, Botucatu, 2010.

ASSAD, E. D. *et al.* Impacto das mudanças climáticas no zoneamento agroclimático do café no Brasil. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira**, Brasília, v. 39, p. 1057-1064, 2004.

BINKLEY, D. *et al.* The interactions of climate, spacing, and genetics on clonal Eucalyptus plantations across Brazil and Uruguay. **Forest Ecology and Management**, Amsterdam, v. 405, p. 271–283, 2017. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.09.050

BLACKMAN, C. J. *et al.* Drought response strategies and hydraulic traits contribute to the mechanistic understanding of plant dry-down to hydraulic failure, **Tree Physiology**, Oxford, v. 39, n. 6, p. 910-924, jun. 2019. doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpz016

BREEMEN, N. V.; BUURMAN, P. Soil formation. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1998. 376 p.

CARVALHO, M. P. *et al.* Produtividade de madeira do eucalipto correlacionada com atributos do solo visando ao mapeamento de zonas especificas de manejo. **Ciência Rural**, Santa Maria, v. 42, n. 10, p. 1797-1803, 2012.

DECHEN, A. R.; NACHTIGALL, G. R. Elementos requeridos à nutrição de plantas. *In*: NOVAIS, R. F. *et al.* (ed.). Fertilidade do solo. Viçosa, MG: Sociedade Brasileira de Ciência do Solo, 2007. p. 91-132.

EMBRAPA. Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Solos. **Manual de métodos de análises de solo**. Brasília: Embrapa Produção de Informação; Rio de Janeiro: EMBRAPA Solos, 1997.

EMBRAPA. Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Solos. **Sistema Brasileiro de Classificação de Solos.** Brasília: EMBRAPA Produção de Informação; Rio de Janeiro: EMBRAPA Solos, 2006.

FLORES, T. B. *et al. Eucalyptus* **no Brasil**: zoneamento climático e guia para identificação. Piracicaba: IPEF, 2016. 448 p.

GAVA, J. L.; GONÇALVES, J. L. M. Soil attributes and Wood quality for pulp production in plantations of Eucalyptus grandis clone. **Scientia Agrícola**, Piracicaba, v. 65, n. 3, p. 306-313, maio/jun. 2008.

GONÇALVES, J. L. M. *et al.* Integrating genetic and silvicultural strategies to minimize abiotic and biotic constraints in Brazilian eucalypt plantations. Forest Ecology and Management, Amsterdam, v. 301, p. 6-27, 2013.

GONÇALVES, J. L. M. *et al.* Mapeamento de solos e da produtividade de plantações de Eucalyptus grandis em Itatinga, SP, com uso de sistema de informação geográfica. **Scientia Foretalis**, Piracicaba, v. 94, p. 187-201, 2012.

HAKAMADA, R. *et al.* Biomass production and potential water stress increase with planting density in four highly productive clonal Eucalyptus genotypes. **Southern Forests**: a Journal of Forest Science, Washington, v. 79, n. 3, p. 251-257, 2017. doi: 10.2989/20702620.2016.1256041

HOLLOWAY, J. D.; STORK, N. D. The dimensions of biodiversity: the use of invertebrates as indicator of human impact. In: HAWKSWORTH, D. L. **The biodiversity of microorganisms and invertebrates**: its role in sustainable agriculture. Wallingford: CAB International, 1991. p. 37-63.

INDÚSTRIA BRASILEIRA DE ÁRVORES. Brazilian Tree Industry Annual Report (2015). Brasília, DF, 2015. 77 p.

Ci. Fl., Santa Maria, v. 30, n. 1, p. 117-134, jan./mar. 2020

KOPPEN, W. Das geographische System der Klimate. *In*: KOPPEN, W.; GEIGER, G. **Handbuch der Klimatologie, Teil C**. Berlin: Gebruder Bornträger, 1936. p. 1-44.

LACLAU, J.-P. Dynamics of soil exploration by fine roots down to a depth of 10 m throughout the entire rotation in *Eucalyptus grandis* plantations. **Frontiers in Plant Science**, Lausanne Switzerland, v. 4, p. 243-250, 2013. doi : http://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00243

LACLAU, J.-P. *et al.* Biogeochemical cycles of nutrients in tropical Eucalyptus plantations. main features shown by intensive monitoring in Congo and Brazil. **Forest Ecology Management**, Amsterdam, v. 259, p. 1771-1785, 2010. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.06.010

MCDOWELL, N. G. Mechanisms linking drought, hydraulics, carbon metabolism, and vegetation mortality. **Plant Physiology**, Oxford, v. 155, p. 1051-1059, 2011. doi: https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.170704

NEVES, J. C. L.; NOVAIS, R. F.; BARROS, N. F. Effect of aluminum in nutrient solution on growth and nutrient uptake by Eucalyptus spp. **Revista Árvore**, Viçosa, MG, v. 6, p. 1-16, 1982.

PAULA, R. R. *et al.* Propriedades edáficas e desenvolvimento de eucalipto em topossequência na Flona Mário Xavier - RJ. **Floresta e Ambiente**, Seropédica, v. 16, p. 344-351, 2012.

PENMAN, H. L. The physical bases of irrigation control. *In*: HORTICULTURAL CONGRESS, 2., London, 1953. **Proceedings** [...]. London: [s. n.], 1953. p. 913-924.

QUAGGIO, J. A.; RAIJ, B. V.; MALAVOLTA, E. Alternative use of the SMP-buffer solution to determine limerequirement of soil. Comm. **Soil Science and Plant Analysis**, Philadelphia, v. 16, p. 245-260, 1985.

RAIJ, B. V. *et al.* **Recomendações de adubação e calagem para o Estado de São Paulo.** Campinas: Instituto Agronômico, 1996. 285 p. (Boletim técnico, 100).

RAIJ, B. V.; QUAGGIO, J. A.; CANTARELLA, H. Análise química do solo para fins de fertilidade. Campinas, Fundação Cargil, 1987. 170 p.

RESENDE, M. D. V. *et al.* Genomic selection for growth and wood quality in Eucalyptus: capturing the missing heritability and accelerating breeding for complex traits in forest trees. **New Phytologist**, Oxford, v. 194, p. 116-128, 2012.

RIBEIRO, C. A.; GUIMARÃES, P. T. G.; VENEGAS, V. H. A. Recomendações para o uso de corretivos e fertilizantes em Minas Gerais - 5ª aproximação. Viçosa, MG: CFSEMG, 1999. 359 p.

ROSSET, J. S.; SCHIAVOAND, J. A.; ATANÁZIO, R. A. R. Chemical attributes, total organic carbon stock and humified fractions of organic matter soil submitted to different systems of sugarcane management. **Ciências Agrárias**, Londrina, v. 35, n. 5, p. 2351-2366, 2014. doi: http:// dx.doi.org/10.5433/1679-0359.2014v35n5p2351

SALA, A.; PIPER, F.; HOCH, G. Physiological mechanisms of drought induced tree mortality are far from being resolved. **New Phytologist**, Oxford, v. 186, p. 274-281, 2010. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03167.x

SANTOS, H. G. *et al.* **O novo mapa de solos do Brasil: legenda atualizada**. 2. ed. Rio de Janeiro: EMBRAPA Solos, 2011. 67 p.

SILVA, F. R.; FERREIRA, F. F. Evaluation of boron extractors in soils of Ceará State, Brazil. **Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo**, Viçosa, MG, v. 22, p. 471-478, 1998.

SILVA, V. E. Atributos do solo e desenvolvimento radicular em plantações de eucalipto no Brasil. 2019. Tese (Doutorado em Agronomia – Sistemas de Produção) - Universidade Estadual Paulista, Ilha Solteira, 2019.

Ci. Fl., Santa Maria, v. 30, n. 1, p. 117-134, jan./mar. 2020

STAPE, J. L. *et al.* The Brazil eucalyptus potential productivity project: influence of water, nutrients and stand uniformity on wood production. **Forest Ecology and Management**, Amsterdam, v. 259, n. 9, p. 1684-1694, 2010. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.01.012

STAPE, J. L.; BINKLEY, D.; RYAN, M. G. Production and carbon allocation in a clonal Eucalyptus plantation with water and nutrient manipulations. **Forest Ecology and Management**, Amsterdam, v. 255, p. 920-930, 2008.

STAPE, J. L.; RYAN, M. G.; BINKLEY, D. Testing the utility of the 3-PG model for growth of Eucalyptus grandis X urophylla with natural and manipulated supplies of water and nutrients. **Forest Ecology and Management,** Amsterdam, v. 193, p. 219-234, 2004. doi: 10.1016/j. foreco.2004.01.031

TAIZ, L.; ZEIGER, E. Plant physiology. 3th ed. Sunderland: Sinauer Associates, 2002. p. 423-460.

THORNTHWAITE, C. W. An approach toward a rational classification of climate. **Geographical Review**, New York, v. 38, p. 55-94, 1948.

VOLKOFF, B. *et al.* Landscape and soil regionalization in southern Brazilian Amazon and contiguous areas: methodology and relevance for ecological studies. **Scientia Agricola**, Piracicaba, v. 69, n. 3, p. 217-225, jun. 2012. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-90162012000300007.

ZEPPEL, M. J. B.; ADAMS, H. D.; ANDEREGG, W. R. L. Mechanistic causes of tree drought mortality: recent results, unresolved questions and future research needs. **New Phytologist**, Hoboken, v. 192, n. 4, p. 800-803, 2011. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03960.x.