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SUMMARY
In this work we study the existence of global solutions and exponential decay of energy of the mixed problem for perturbed Kirchhoff-Carrier wave equation

\[ u'' - M(a(u))\Delta u + F(u) + \gamma u' = f \]

where \( F \) is a Lipschitz function

INTRODUCTION
Let \( \Omega \) be a bounded open domain in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with smooth boundary \( \Gamma \). Let \( F: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a real nondecreasing Lipschitz function with \( F(0) = 0 \).

We consider the initial boundary value problem for Kirchhoff operator

\[
\begin{align*}
Ku + F(u) + \gamma u' &= f & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty[ \\
\nu &= 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \times [0, \infty[ \\
u(x,0) &= u_0(x), & \nu(x,0) &= u_1(x) & \text{in } \Omega
\end{align*}
\] (1)

where
is the Kirchhoff's operator, $M$ is a Lipschitz continuous function, $M(s) \geq m_0 > 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\gamma > 0$ is a given constant.

The problem (1) has its origin in the mathematical description of the spatial vibrations of an elastic stretched string when we suppose the tension, in each point, has only vertical component cf. Kirchhoff [8] and Carrier [5].

The mathematical formulation of this model is

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - M\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 \, dx\right) \Delta u = f$$

where $M(s)$ is a real function defined on the positive real numbers $s \geq 0$.

There are a large number of results related to model (2). When $n = 1$ we have the work of Bernstein [3]. He obtained global existence of solution of (2) considering $M(s) \geq u_0 > 0$. In [18] Pohozaev proved that problem (2) has global solution if we take $u_0$, $u_1$ and $\Gamma$ analytic. In [2] Arosio-Spagnolo, [9] Lions, [1] Arosio-Garavaldi the authors have proved global existence of solution of (2). In [2] and [9] $m_0$, $m$, are chosen in a regular class of functions.

If the equation (2) is perturbed with the term $-\Delta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}$ there exist results on global solution in t cf. Nishiara [16] and Yamada [19]. In [11] Medeiros - Milla Miranda have proved the existence of global solution and exponential decay considering the damping $(-\Delta)^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}$, $0<\alpha \leq 1$.

In [7] Hosoya - Yamada considered a nonlinear perturbation of Kirchhoff operator of the following type:

$$
\begin{align*}
Ku + |\nabla|^2 u + \gamma u &= f & \text{on} & Q = \Omega \times [0,\infty[ \\
u = 0 & \text{on} & \Sigma = \Gamma \times [0,\infty[ \\
u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad \dot{u}(x,0) = u_1(x) & \text{in} & \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

where $Ku$ is the Kirchhoff operator.

Considering the perturbation $|\nabla|^2 u$ and linear damping $\gamma u$, the authors proved the existence of global solution of (3) and studied decay properties, when some smallness condition on $u_0$, $u_1$ are considered.
Motivated by Hosoya - Yamada work's we consider problem (1) in the case that \( F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \) is a Lipschitz function. In our previous work we have proved that when \( \gamma = 0 \), problem (1) has local solution. Our interest now is to obtain global solution of (1) when \( \gamma > 0 \), and the decay of energy putting some smallness conditions on initial data \( u_0 \) and \( u_1 \).

2. NOTATION

We consider \( \Omega \) a regular bounded open set in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), with boundary \( \Gamma \) of class \( C^2 \).

By \( H(\Omega) \) we represent the Sobolev space with the inner product

\[
\langle (u,v) \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx
\]

and norm

\[
\|u\| = \int_{\Omega} u^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx
\]

By \( H^1_0(\Omega) \) we represent the closure of \( D(\Omega) \) in \( H^1(\Omega) \). In \( H^1_0(\Omega) \) we consider the Dirichlet bilinear form

\[
a(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx
\]

which is an inner product, and in \( H^1(\Omega) \) we consider the equivalent norm

\[
\|u\| = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx.
\]

By the same way we define the Sobolev space \( H^2(\Omega) \), and prove that the norms of \( H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \) and that defined by the Laplace operator are equivalent. See the references Lions-Magenes [10] and Mizohata [13].

3- GLOBAL EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS

We suppose that \( F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \) satisfies the following conditions:

(3.1) \( F \) is a nondecreasing Lipschitz function with \( F(0) = 0 \).

We suppose in addition that

(3.2) \( M \) is a Lipschitz function, \( M(s) \geq m_0 > 0 \).

(3.3) \( u_0 \in H^2_0(\Omega) \cap H^1(\Omega), \; u_t \in H^1_0(\Omega), \; f \in L^1(0,\infty; \; H^1_0(\Omega) \cap L^1(0,\infty; \; L^2(\Omega)) \)

We have the following result.
THEOREM 3.1. Under assumptions (3.1) - (3.3), there exists a positive constant $C_0$ such that if $u_0, u_1, f$ satisfies

$$|\Delta u_0| + |\nabla u_1| + \int_0^t |\nabla f| dt < C_0$$

then there exists a unique function $u$ in the class

(3.4) $u \in C([0, \infty[, \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C_n\{[0, \infty[, \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}^2(\Omega))\}$

(3.5) $u' \in C([0, \infty[, \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)) \cap C_n\{[0, \infty[, \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega)\}$

(3.6) $u'' \in L^2([0, \infty[, \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega))$

such that

(3.7) $u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1, \quad$ and

(3.8) $u'' - M(a(u))\Delta u + F(u) + \gamma u = f \quad$ a.e. in $\Omega \quad [0, \infty[$

PROOF - We consider the eigenvalue problem

$$((w_j, v)) = \lambda_j (w_j, v) \quad \text{for all} \quad v \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega).$$

We have that $w_j \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}^2(\Omega)$ and we take $(w_j)_{j \leq N}$ orthonormal in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then $(w_j)_{j \leq N}$ is a complete orthonormal system in $L^2(\Omega)$.

Denote by $V_m$ the subspace of $\mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}^2(\Omega)$ generated by the first $m$ eigenvectors

$$(w_j), \quad i, e, \quad V_m = [w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m]$$

Let $u_m(t) \in V_m$ defined by

(3.9) $((K(u_m(t)), v)) + (F(u_m(t)), v) + \gamma (u_m(t), v) = (f(t), v) \quad \text{for all} \quad v \in V_m.$

(3.10) $u_m(0) = u_{0m} \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}^2(\Omega).$

(3.11) $u_m(0) = u_{1m} \rightarrow u_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{H}_0^1(\Omega).$

The system (3.9) with initial conditions (3.10) and (3.11) has local solution on $[0, t_m]$. The extention to $[0, T]$ is consequence of the first estimate.

ESTIMATE (i) - Let us consider $v = 2u_m(t)$ in (3.9) we obtain
(3.12) \[
\frac{d}{dt}|u_m(t)|^2 + M(a(u_m(t))) \frac{d}{dt}a(u_m(t)) + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(u_m(t)) u_m'(t) dx + \\
+ 2\gamma |u_m(t)|^2 = 2(f(t), u_m(t))
\]

If G is a primitive of F, that is \( G(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\lambda} F(s) ds \) we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} G(u_m(t)) = F(u_m(t))u_m'(t)
\]

Also, if \( \tilde{M}(\lambda) \) is a primitive of M, it follows that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{M}(a(u_m(t))) = M(a(u_m(t))) \frac{d}{dt}a(u_m(t))
\]

Modifying (3.12) we obtain

(3.13) \[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ |u_m(t)|^2 + \tilde{M}(a(u_m(t))) \right] + 2 \int_{\Omega} G(u_m(t)) dx + 2\gamma |u_m(t)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} |f(t)|^2 + \gamma |u_m'(t)|^2
\]

where \( \gamma > 0 \) is a constant.

Integrating (3.14) from 0 to \( t \leq t_m \) we get.

(3.14) \[
|u_m'(t)|^2 + \tilde{M}(a(u_m(t))) + 2 \int_{\Omega} G(u_m(t)) dx + \gamma \int_{0}^{t} |u_m(s)|^2 ds \leq \\
\leq |u_m|^2 + \tilde{M}(a(u_m)) + 2 \int_{\Omega} G(u_m) dx + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{0}^{t} |f(s)| ds
\]

By (3.10) \( u_{om} \to u_0 \) in \( H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \).

There exists a sus sequence \( (u_{om})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) such that \( u_{om} \to u_0 \) a. e in \( \Omega \). Then \( G u_{om} \) converge to \( G u_0 \) a. e in \( \Omega \). Since F is a Lipschitz function we have

\[
|G(u_{om}(x))| = \left| \int_{0}^{u_{om}(x)} F(s) ds \right| \leq \int_{0}^{u_{om}(x)} F(s) F(0) ds \leq C|u_{om}(x)|^2
\]

By Lebesgue Dominated convergence Theorem we get

\[
\int_{\Omega} |G(u_{om}(x))| dx \to \int_{\Omega} |G(u_0(x))| dx
\]
By the same argument

\[ \hat{M}(a(u_m)) \rightarrow \hat{M}(a(u_0)) \]

It follows that

\[ |u_m|^2 + \hat{M}(a(u_m)) + 2 \int_{\Omega} G(u_m(x)) \, dx + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^\infty |f(s)| \, ds \]

is bounded independent of \( m \). Representing by \( C \) a constant such that

(3.15) \[ |u_m|^2 + \hat{M}(a(u_m)) + 2 \int_{\Omega} G(u_m(x)) \, dx + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^\infty |f(s)| \, ds < C \]

we have

(3.16) \[ |u_m(t)|^2 + \hat{M}(a(u_m(t))) + 2 \int_{\Omega} G(u_m(t)) \, dx + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^{u_m(s)} |u_m(s)| \, ds < C \]

for all \( t \geq 0 \). By assumption (3.1) we have that \( \int_{\Omega} G(u_m(t)) \, dx \geq 0 \). Also, \( \hat{M}(a(u_m(t))) = \int_0^{u_m(t)} M(s) \, ds \geq m_0 a(u_m(t)) \).

From (3.16) we obtain the estimate (i),

(3.17) \[ |u_m(t)|^2 + |\nabla u_m(t)|^2 < C \]

for all \( t \geq 0 \).

ESTIMATE (ii) - Taking \( v = -\Delta u_m(t) \) in (3.9) we have

(3.18) \[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\nabla u_m(t)|^2 + \hat{M}(a(u_m(t))) \frac{d}{dt} |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 + \gamma |\nabla u_m(t)|^2 \leq \]

\[ \leq -\{F(u_m(t)), -\Delta u_m(t)\} + \{f(t), -\Delta u_m(t)\} \]

We have that

\[ \frac{d}{dt} [\hat{M}(a(u_m(t)))|\Delta u_m(t)|^2] = \hat{M}(a(u_m(t))) \frac{d}{dt} |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 + |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 \hat{M}(a(u_m(t))) \frac{d}{dt} a(u_m(t)) \]

We modify (3.19) obtaining
\[ (3.19) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\left[ \left| \nabla u_m(t) \right|^2 + M(\|a(u_m(t))\|)\|u_m(t)\|^2 \right] + 2\gamma \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 \leq \]
\[ \leq 2M(\|a(u_m(t))\|)\|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 + \]
\[ + 2\|\nabla F(u_m(t), \Delta u_m(t))\| + 2\|f(t), \nabla u_m(t)\| \]

The second member is estimated by

\[ (3.20) \quad \left| M(\|a(u_m(t))\|)\|\nabla u_m(t)\|\Delta u_m(t)\|^2 \leq M_1 C_1 \|\nabla u_m(t)\|\Delta u_m(t)\|^2 \]

where \( M_1 = \max\left\{ \|M(\lambda)\|, \ 0 \leq \lambda \leq C_1 \right\} \) and \( \|\nabla u_m(t)\| \leq C_1 \), by estimate (i).

Since \( F \) is a Lipschitz function

\[ \|\nabla F(u_m(t), \nabla u_m(t))\| = \left| \left( F(u_m(t), \nabla u_m(t)) \right) \right| \leq C \|\nabla u_m(t)\| \|\nabla u_m(t)\| \]

We have also

\[ |\Delta u| \geq \sqrt{\lambda_1} |\nabla u| \text{ for all } u \in H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega), \]

where \( \lambda_1 \) is the least eigenvalue of \(-\Delta\).

Therefore

\[ (3.21) \quad \left| F(u_m(t), -\Delta u_m(t)) \right| \leq C |\nabla u_m(t)| |\nabla u_m(t)| \leq \frac{C^2}{2\lambda_1 \gamma} |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} |\nabla u_m(t)|^2 \]

where \( \gamma > 0 \) is an arbitrary constant.

Substituting (3.20) and (3.21) in (3.19) we obtain

\[ (3.22) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\left[ \left| \nabla u_m(t) \right|^2 + M(\|a(u_m(t))\|)\|u_m(t)\|^2 \right] + \frac{3}{2}\gamma |\nabla u_m(t)|^2 \leq \]
\[ \leq 2M_1 C_1 \|\nabla u_m(t)\| \|\Delta u_m(t)\|^2 + \frac{C^2}{2\gamma} |\nabla u_m(t)|^2 + 2\|f(t), \nabla u_m(t)\| \]

where \( C_2 = \frac{C}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} > 0 \)

Taking \( v = -\Delta u_m(t) \) in (3.9) we obtain

\[ (3.23) \quad \left( \nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m(t) \right) + M(\|a(u_m(t))\|)\|\Delta u_m(t)\|^2 + \]
\[ + \left( F(u_m(t), -\Delta u_m(t)) \right) + \gamma \left( \nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m(t) \right) = \left( f(t), \nabla u_m(t) \right) \]
We have

\[ \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m(t)) = (\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m(t)) + \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 \]

and by hypothesis (3.1)

\[ (F(u_m(t)), -\Delta u_m(t)) = \left( \nabla F(u_m(t)), \nabla u_m(t) \right) = \left( F(u_m(t))\Delta u_m(t), \nabla u_m(t) \right) = \int F(u_m(t))\nabla u_m(t)\,dx \geq 0 \]

From (3.23) and using (3.24), (3.25) and (3.2) we have

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left[ (\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 \right] + m_0 |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 \leq \left[ \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 + |f(t)| \right] \|\nabla u_m(t)\| \]

Multiplying (3.26) by \( \gamma \) and adding (3.22) we obtain

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left[ (\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 \right] + u_0 \gamma |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 \leq 2M_C |\nabla u_m(t)| \|\Delta u_m(t)\|^2 + \frac{C_z^2}{2\gamma} |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 + |f(t)| \left[ \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 + |\nabla u_m(t)| \right] \]

We define

\[ \text{H}(u(t)) = \|\nabla u(t)\|^2 + M(a(u(t))) |\Delta u(t)|^2 + \gamma (\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 \]

then from (3.27) it follows

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \text{H}(u_m(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 + \left[ u_0 \gamma - \frac{C_z^2}{2\gamma} - 2M_C |\nabla u_m(t)| \right] |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 \leq \left[ |f(t)| \left[ \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 + |\nabla u_m(t)| \right] \right] \]

If \( \gamma > \frac{C_z}{\sqrt{2m_0}} \) then \( u_0 \gamma - \frac{C_z^2}{2\gamma} > 0 \)

Furthermore

\[ \gamma (\nabla u_m(t), \nabla u_m(t)) \geq -\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla u_m(t)\|^2 \]

then
(3.30) \( H(u_m(t)) \leq \left| \nabla u_m(t) \right|^2 + u_0 |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 \)

Since \( |\Delta u(t)| \geq \sqrt{\lambda_i} |\nabla u(t)| \) for all \( u \in H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \)

we have

\[
(3.31) \quad H(u_m(t)) \leq \left| \nabla u_m(t) \right|^2 + M_0 |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 + \gamma |\nabla u_m(t)| |\nabla u_m(t)| + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \left| \nabla u(t) \right|^2 \leq \frac{3}{2} \left| \nabla u_m(t) \right|^2 + \left( M_0 + \frac{\gamma^2}{\lambda_1} \right) |\Delta u_m(t)|^2
\]

where

\[
M_0 = \max \{ |M(\lambda)|, 0 \leq \lambda \leq C_1 \}
\]

We define

\[
E^* (m(t)) = \left| \nabla m(t) \right|^2 + |\Delta m(t)|^2
\]

then from (3.30) and (3.31) there exist constants \( C_3 > 0 \) and \( C_4 > 0 \), independent of \( m \), such that

\[
(3.32) \quad C_3 E^* (u_m(t)) \leq u_m(t) \leq C_4 E^* (u_m(t))
\]

Inequality (3.32) allows us to deduce a priori estimates for \( E^* b_m(t)g \).

We take \( u_{im} \) satisfying

\[
(3.33) \quad 2M,C_c |\nabla u_{im}| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left( u_0 \gamma - \frac{C_c^2}{2\gamma} \right), \quad \gamma > \frac{C_c}{\sqrt{2m_0}}
\]

We will show that

\[
(3.34) \quad 2M,C_c |\nabla u_{im}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( u_0 \gamma - \frac{C_c^2}{2\gamma} \right)
\]

for all \( 0 \leq t \leq x \), putting some additional conditions on \( \{ u_{im}, u_{im}, f \} \).

Suppose that there exists \( \xi > 0 \), such that (3.34) holds for \( 0 \leq t < \xi \), and
From (3.29) and using (3.30) and (3.31) we have

\[ (3.36) \quad \frac{d}{dt} H(u_m(t)) + 2\gamma \beta \ H(u_m(t)) \leq 2 \ C_s |\nabla f(t)| \ H(u_m(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

for \(0 \leq t \leq \xi\), with \(C_s > 0\) and \(\beta > 0\) constants.

Rewriting this differential inequality, we obtain

\[ (3.37) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left[ e^{\frac{\gamma \beta t}{2}} H(u_m(t)) \right] \leq 2 \ C_s e^{\frac{\gamma \beta t}{2}} |\nabla f(t)| \left[ e^{\frac{\gamma \beta t}{2}} H(u_m(t)) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

Solving (3.37) it results

\[ (3.38) \quad H(u_m(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq H(u_m(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\gamma \beta t}{2}} + C_s \int_0^t e^{\frac{\gamma \beta t}{2}} |\nabla f(s)| ds \leq H(u_m(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_s \int_0^t |\nabla f(s)| ds \]

for \(0 \leq t \leq \xi\).

From (3.38) and (3.32) it follows

\[ (3.39) \quad |\nabla u_m(\xi)| \leq E^* (u_m(\xi)) \leq \frac{1}{C_3} H(u_m(\xi)) \leq H_0 \]

where \(H_0 = H(u_m(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_s \int_0^\xi |\nabla f(s)| ds \)

We make \(H_0\) sufficiently small so that

\[ (3.40) \quad 2M_s C_s H_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{u_0}{\gamma} - \frac{C^2_s}{2\gamma} \right), \quad \gamma \geq \frac{C_s}{\sqrt{2m_0}} \]

holds.

From (3.39) it follows that

\[ 2M_s C_s |\nabla u(\xi)| \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( m_s \gamma - \frac{C^2_s}{2\gamma} \right), \quad \gamma \geq \frac{C_s}{\sqrt{2m_0}} \]
which contradicts to (3.35). Therefore we conclude that (3.34) is valid for $H_0$ sufficiently small.

If $m$ is sufficiently large and $|\Delta u(t)|$, $|\nabla u(t)|$ and $|\nabla f|_{L^2(\Omega_0, W^1_2)}$ are sufficiently small we have that (3.40) and (3.33) are valid.

From (3.34) we conclude that

(3.41) $E^t u_m(t) \leq C_\varepsilon$ for all $t \geq 0$, or

(3.42) $|\nabla u_m(t)|^2 + |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 \leq C_\varepsilon$ for all $t \geq 0$.

From (3.29), (3.34) and $|\Delta u(t)| \geq \sqrt{\lambda_1} |\nabla u(t)|$ for all $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^1(\Omega)$ we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} H(u_m(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} |\nabla u_m(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( u_0 \gamma - \frac{C^2_3}{2\gamma} \right) |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 \leq |\nabla f(t)| \left[ 2 |\nabla u_m(t)| + \sqrt{\lambda_1} |\Delta u_m(t)| \right]$$

Using (3.41) we get

(3.43) $\frac{d}{dt} H(u_m(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} |\nabla u_m(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( u_0 \gamma - \frac{C^2_3}{2\gamma} \right) |\Delta u_m(t)|^2 \leq C_\varepsilon |\nabla f(t)|$

for $\gamma > \frac{C_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2m_0}}$

Integrating (3.43) from 0 to $t$, it follows

(3.44) $H(u_m(t)) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_0^t |\nabla u_m(s)|^2 \, ds + \frac{1}{2} \left( m_0 \gamma - \frac{C^2_3}{2\gamma} \right) \int_0^t |\Delta u_m(s)|^2 \, ds \leq$

$$\leq H(u_m(0)) + C_\gamma \int_0^t |\nabla f(s)| \, ds \leq C_\varepsilon$$

for all $t \geq 0$, $C_\varepsilon > 0$ a constant.

From (3.44) we obtain the estimate

$$\int_0^t |\nabla u_m(s)|^2 \, ds + \int_0^t |\Delta u_m(s)|^2 \, ds \leq C_\varepsilon$$

for all $t \geq 0$, $C_\varepsilon > 0$.

ESTIMATE (iii) - Taking $v = u_m(t)$ in (3.9) we obtain

$$|u_m(t)|^2 - M(a(u_m(t))) (\Delta u_m(t), u_m(t)) + (F(u_m(t)), u_m(t)) +$$

$$+ \gamma(u_m(t), u_m(t)) = (f(t), u_m(t))$$
\[ |u^*_m(t)|^2 \leq M_0 |\Delta u_m(t)| + |F(u_m(t))| + \gamma |u_m(t)| + |f(t)| \]

where
\[ M_0 = \{ |M(\lambda)|; 0 \leq \lambda \leq C_1 \}. \]

Since \( F \) is a Lipschitz function we have
\[ \int \! |F(u_m(t))|^2 \leq C |F(u_m(t))|_{L^2(O)}^2 \leq C |\nabla u_m(t)|_{L^2(O)}^2, \quad C > 0 \]
then
\[ \int |u^*_m(s)|^2 \leq M_0 \int |\Delta u_m(s)|^2 ds + C \int |\nabla u_m(s)|^2 ds + \gamma \int |u_m(s)|^2 ds + \int |f(s)|^2 ds \]

Using estimate (i), (ii) and \( f \in L^2(0, \infty; L^2(O)) \) it results
\[ (3.45) \int |u^*_m(s)|^2 ds < C_{10} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \quad C_{10} > 0 \]

From estimates (i), (ii), (iii) we obtain

\[ (3.46) (u_m)_m \subset L^\infty(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)) \]
\[ (3.47) (u_m)_m \subset L^\infty(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)) \]
\[ (3.48) (u_m)_m \subset L^\infty(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)) \]
\[ (3.49) (u_m)_m \subset L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \]
\[ (3.50) (u_m)_m \subset L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \]

From (3.46) and (3.47) and by Aubin-Lions Compactness Theorem exists a subsequence, still represented by \( (u_m)_m \subset L^\infty \), such that
\[ (3.51) u_m \to u \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)) \]

Using the same arguments and continuous injections \( H^1_0(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega) \subset H^{-1}(\Omega) \) with the imbedding from \( H^1_0(\Omega) \) into \( L^2(\Omega) \) compact we obtain
\[ (3.52) u_m \to u \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \].
Then
\[ \int_0^t \left| F(u_m(s)) - F(u(s)) \right|_{L^2(\Omega)} ds \leq \int_0^t \left| u_m(s) - u(s) \right|_{L^2(\Omega)} ds \leq C \sqrt{T} \left[ \int_0^t \left| u_m(s) - u(s) \right|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds \right]^{1/2} \]

Since \( u_m \to u \) strongly in \( L^2(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)) \) we conclude that

(3.53) \( F(u_m) \to F(u) \) strongly in \( L^1(0, T) \)

From (3.50) we have

(3.54) \( u_m \to u^\prime \) weakly in \( L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \).

Then from (3.10)
\[
\int_0^t (u_m(s), v) \vartheta(s) ds + \int_0^t M(a(u_m(s))) a(u_m(s), v) \vartheta(s) ds + \int_0^t F(u_m(s), v) \vartheta(s) ds + \\
+ \gamma \int_0^t (u_m(s), v) \vartheta(s) ds = \int_0^t (f(s), v) \vartheta(s) ds
\]

for all \( v \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) and \( \vartheta \in D(0, T) \)

Taking the limit when \( m \to \infty \) we have
\[
\int_0^t (u^\prime(s), v) \vartheta(s) ds + \int_0^t M(a(u(s))) a(u(s), v) \vartheta(s) ds + \int_0^t F(u(s), v) \vartheta(s) ds + \\
+ \gamma \int_0^t (u(s), v) \vartheta(s) ds = \int_0^t (f(s), v) \vartheta(s) ds
\]
or
\[
\int_Q (u^\prime - M(a(u)) \Delta u + F(u) + \gamma u^\prime, \Phi) ds dx = \int_Q f(s) \Phi ds dx \text{ for all } \Phi \in D(Q).
\]

By Du Bois Raymond Lemma

\[ u^\prime - M(a(u)) \Delta u + F(u) + \gamma u^\prime = f \text{ a.e. in } Q. \]

As a consequence of estimates (i), (ii) and (iii) we have
(3.35) \( u' \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \)
\( u' \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \)

therefore the regularity properties (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) are consequence of (3.55).

Finally by (3.4) and (3.5) we have \( u(0) = u_0, \ u'(0) = u_1 \).

UNIQUENESS - The uniqueness of solution follows using regularity properties (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and F Lipshitz.

4- ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR

On this section we obtain information on the behaviour of the energy associated to problem (1) when \( t \) goes to \( \infty \).

THEOREM - 4.1 - If \( m \) is the solution of theorem 3.1 then there exist constants \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
(4.1) \quad E(u(t))^{1/2} \leq C \left[ E(u(0))^{1/2} e^{-\alpha t} + \int_0^t e^{\alpha(t-s)} |f(s)| ds \right]
\]

for all \( t \geq 0 \), where

\[
(4.2) \quad E(u(t)) = |\dot{u}(t)|^2 + \tilde{M}(\dot{u}(u(t))) + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega G(u(t)) dx
\]

If

\[
(4.3) \quad E^*(u(t)) = |\nabla u(t)|^2 + |\Delta u(t)|^2
\]

then there exist positive constants \( \beta \) and \( C^* \) such that

\[
(4.4) \quad E^*(u(t))^{1/2} \leq C^* \left[ E^*(u(0))^{1/2} e^{-\beta t} + \int_0^t e^{\beta(t-s)} |\nabla f(s)| ds \right] \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \text{ and}
\]

\[
(4.5) \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} E(u(t)) = \lim_{t \to \infty} E^*(u(t)) = 0
\]

PROOF - Let \( u_n(t) \) defined by (3.9) - (3.11). Taking \( v = u_n(t) \) in (3.9) we obtain
We define

\[
S(u(t)) = E(u(t)) + \left[ 2(u(t), u(t)) + \gamma |u(t)|^2 \right]
\]

where \( \gamma \) is a positive number to be determined.

We have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} S(u_\gamma(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} E(u_\gamma(t)) + \left[ 2\|u_\gamma(t)\|^2 + 2(u_\gamma(t), u_\gamma(t)) + 2\gamma(u_\gamma(t), u_\gamma(t)) \right]
\]

By (3.13) we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} E(u_\gamma(t)) + 2\gamma |u_\gamma(t)|^2 = 2(f(t), u_\gamma(t))
\]

Multiplying (4.6) by \( 2\tau \), adding (4.9) and observing that \( SF(s) \equiv G(s) \geq 0 \) where

\[
G(\lambda) = \int_0^\lambda F(s) ds
\]

it follows

\[
\frac{d}{dt} S(u_\gamma(t)) + 2(\gamma - \tau) |u_\gamma(t)|^2 + 2\tau \|u_\gamma(t)\|^2 + 2\int_{\Omega} G(u_\gamma(t)) dx \leq
\]

\[
\leq 2 \|f(t)\| |u_\gamma(t)| + \tau \|u_\gamma(t)\|
\]

From (4.7) using Poincaré inequality for \( u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \) and observing that \( M \alpha \leq (t) \) is uniformly bounded on \( 0, \infty \), there exists a constant \( \tau > 0 \) such that

\[
S(u_\gamma(t)) \leq 2 \left[ |u_\gamma(t)|^2 + \|u_\gamma(t)\|^2 + 2\int_{\Omega} G(u(t)) dx \right]
\]

On the other hand

\[
2\tau(u(t), u(t)) \geq -\frac{\gamma}{\tau} |u(t)|^2 - \tau |\mu(t)|^2
\]

then, choosing, \( \tau = \frac{\gamma}{2} \), we have
(4.12) \[ S(u_m(t)) \geq \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_0}\right)|u_m(t)|^2 + u_0|\nabla u_m(t)|^2 + 2\int_\Omega G(u_m(t))dx \geq \]
\[ \geq K_1|u_m(t)|^2 + |\nabla u_m(t)|^2 + 2\int_\Omega G(u_m(t))dx \]

Hence, from (4.11) and (4.12) we obtain

(4.13) \[ K_1 E(u_m(t)) \leq S(u_m(t)) \leq K_2 E(u_m(t)) \]

and from (4.10),

(4.14) \[ \frac{d}{dt} S(u_m(t)) + 2\alpha y S(u_m(t)) \leq 2K_3 |f(t)| S(u_m(t)) : \]

with some constants \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( K_3 > 0 \).

Solving the differential inequality (4.14) it follows

(4.15) \[ S(u_m(t)) \leq C S(u_m(0))e^{-\alpha t} + \int_0^t e^{\alpha(t-s)}|f(s)|ds \]

Since (4.13) and (4.15) we obtain

(4.16) \[ E(u_m(t)) \leq C \left[E(u_m(0))e^{-\alpha t} + \int_0^t e^{\alpha(t-s)}|f(s)|ds \right] \]

Taking the limit when \( m \to \infty \) the same manner as in Theorem 3.1, results

(4.17) \[ E(u(t)) \leq C \left[E(u(0))e^{-\alpha t} + \int_0^t e^{\alpha(t-s)}|f(s)|ds \right], \quad C > 0. \]

We also have that

\[ C_1 E'(u_m(t)) \leq H(u_m(t)) \leq C_4 E'(u_m(t)) \]

then there exists constants \( \beta > 0 \) and \( C^* > 0 \) such that

(4.18) \[ E'(u_m(t)) \leq C^* \left[E'(u_m(0))e^{-\alpha t} + \int_0^t e^{\alpha(t-s)}|\nabla f(s)|ds \right] \]

When \( m \to \infty \) we obtain

(4.19) \[ E'(u(t)) \leq C^* \left[E'(u(0))e^{-\alpha t} + \int_0^t e^{\alpha(t-s)}|\nabla f(s)|ds \right] \]
Since $f \in L^1(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ by Lebesgue Convergence Theorem it follows

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t e^{\alpha(t-s)} |f(s)| ds = 0
$$

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t e^{\beta(t-s)} |\nabla f(s)| ds = 0
$$

therefore

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} E(u(t)) = \lim_{t \to \infty} E^*(u(t)) = 0
$$
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